Mass spectra in $\mathcal{N}=1$ SQCD with additional colorless fields. Strong coupling regimes.

Victor L. Chernyak [a, b]

(e-mail: v.l.chernyak@inp.nsk.su)

a) Budker Institut of Nuclear Physics SB RAS, Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

b) Novosibirsk State University, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russian Federation

arXiv:1608.06452v1 [hep-th] 23 Aug 2016

Abstract

This article continues our previous studies of SQCD-like theories. We also consider here the $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SQCD-like (direct) theory (and its Seiberg's dual with $SU(\overline{N}_c = N_F - N_c)$ dual colors), and with N_F flavors of light quarks $\overline{Q}_j, Q^i$ with the mass term in the superpotential $m_Q \mathrm{Tr}\, \overline{Q}Q$, $m_Q \ll \Lambda_Q$ (Λ_Q is the scale factor of the gauge coupling). Besides, there are N_F^2 additional colorless but flavored fields Φ_i^j with the large mass parameter $\mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$. But now considered is the region $N_c + 1 < N_F < 3N_c/2$ where the UV free direct $SU(N_c)$ theory is strongly coupled at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$.

The mass spectra of this direct theory in various vacua and at different values of μ_Φ are calculated within the dynamical scenario introduced by the author in [9]. This scenario assumes that quarks in such $\mathcal{N}=1$ SQCD-like theories can be in two *standard* phases only. These are either the HQ (heavy quark) phase where they are confined or the Higgs phase.

Similarly to our previous studies of this theory within the conformal window $3N_c/2 < N_F < 3N_c$, it is shown here that due to the strong powerlike RG evolution, the seemingly heavy and dynamically irrelevant at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ fields Φ_i^j can become light and relevant at lower energies, and there appear then two additional generation of light Φ-particles with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll \Lambda_Q$.

The calculated mass spectra of this strongly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ direct $SU(N_c)$ theory are compared to those of its weakly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ Seiberg's dual $SU(N_F - N_c)$ variant and appeared to be parametrically different. It is worth to recall that the dynamical scenario from [9] used in this article satisfies all those tests which were used as checks of the Seiberg hypothesis about the equivalence of the direct and dual theories. This parametrical difference shows, in particular, that all these tests, although necessary, may well be insufficient.

Contents

1 Introduction **3**

The region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$ **4**

2 Unbroken flavor symmetry, L-vacua **4**
2.1 Direct theory 4
2.2 Dual theory 7

3 Unbroken flavor symmetry, S-vacua **8**
3.1 Direct theory 8
3.2 Dual theory 9

4 Broken flavor symmetry, L-type vacua **9**

5 Broken flavor symmetry, br2 vacua **9**
5.1 Direct theory 9
5.2 Dual theory 13

6 Broken flavor symmetry, special vacua **14**
6.1 Direct theory 14
6.2 Dual theory 16

The region $\mu_{\Phi,o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$ **18**

7 Unbroken flavor symmetry, QCD vacua **19**
7.1 Direct theory. 19
7.2 Dual theory. 20

8 Broken flavor symmetry. Direct theory. **21**
8.1 br1 and special vacua with $1 \le n_1 < \mathrm{Min}\,(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$ 21
8.2 br1 and special vacua with $3N_c - 2N_F < n_1 < N_F/2$ 25
8.3 br2-vacua 26

9 Broken flavor symmetry. Dual theory. **27**
9.1 br1 and special vacua with $1 \le n_1 < \mathrm{Min}\,(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$ 27
9.2 br1 and special vacua with $3N_c - 2N_F < n_1 < N_F/2$ 28
9.3 br2 - vacua 29

10 Conclusions **29**

A Condensates and multiplicities of vacua at $N_c < N_F < 2N_c$ **29**
A.1 The region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$ 30
A.1.1 Vacua with the unbroken flavor symmetry 30
A.1.2 Vacua with the spontaneously broken flavor symmetry, $U(N_F) \to U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$ 30
A.2 The region $\mu_\Phi \gg \mu_{\Phi,o}$ 31

References **33**

1 Introduction

We continue in this article our previous study in [1, 2] of $\mathcal{N}=1$ SQCD-like theories with $SU(N_c)$ colors, N_F flavors of light quarks and with additional N_F^2 colorless but flavored fields Φ_i^j. Recall that the Lagrangian of this (direct) theory at the scale $\mu=\Lambda_Q$ has the form [1]

$$K=\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)+\mathrm{Tr}\Big(\,Q^\dagger Q+Q\to\overline{Q}\,\Big)\,,\quad \mathcal{W}=-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(\mu=\Lambda_Q)}S+\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter}\,,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter}=\mathcal{W}_\Phi+\mathcal{W}_Q\,,\quad \mathcal{W}_\Phi=\frac{\mu_\Phi}{2}\left[\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Phi^2)-\frac{1}{N_F-N_c}\Big(\mathrm{Tr}\,\Phi\Big)^2\right],\quad \mathcal{W}_Q=\mathrm{Tr}\,\overline{Q}(m_Q-\Phi)Q\,. \quad (1.1)$$

Here: $\mu_\Phi\gg\Lambda_Q$ and $m_Q\ll\Lambda_Q$ are the mass parameters, the traces in (1.1) are over color and/or flavor indices, $S=-\sum_{a,\beta}W_\beta^A W^{A,\beta}/32\pi^2$, where W_β^A is the gauge field strength, $A=1...N_c^2-1$, $\beta=1,2$, $a(\mu)=N_c\alpha(\mu)/2\pi=N_c g^2(\mu)/8\pi^2$ is the running gauge coupling with its scale factor Λ_Q, $Q_a^i,\overline{Q}_j^a$, $a=1...N_c$, $i,j=1...N_F$ are the quark fields. *This normalization of fields is used everywhere below in the main text.* Besides, the perturbative NSVZ β_{NSVZ}-functions for (effectively) massless SUSY theories [3, 4] are used in this paper.

There is a large number of vacua in this theory and for a reader convenience we reproduce in Appendix the values of the quark and gluino condensates, $\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle\equiv\sum_{a=1}^{N_c}\langle\overline{Q}_j^aQ_a^i\rangle$ and $\langle S\rangle$, in various vacua at $N_c<N_F<2N_c$.

All dynamical properties of theory (1.1): the RG evolution, phase states, mass spectra etc., depends essentially on the value of N_F/N_c. For instance, it enters at $\mu<\Lambda_Q$: a) the weakly coupled IR free logarithmic regime with the gauge coupling $a(\mu\ll\Lambda_Q)\sim 1/\log(\Lambda_Q/\mu)\ll 1$ at $N_F>3N_c$, b) the strongly coupled conformal regime with $a(\mu\ll\Lambda_Q)=a_*=\mathrm{const}=O(1)$ (in general) at $3N_c/2<N_F<3N_c$, c) the (very) strongly coupled regime with $a(\mu\ll\Lambda_Q)\sim(\Lambda_Q/\mu)^{\nu_Q>0}\gg 1$ at $N_c<N_F<3N_c/2$.

In parallel with the direct Φ-theory (1.1), we study also its Seiberg's dual variant [5, 6, 7], the $d\Phi$-theory with $SU(\overline{N}_c=N_F-N_c)$ dual colors, N_F flavors of dual quarks $q_i^b,\overline{q}_b^j$, $b=1...\overline{N}_c$, and with N_F^2 additional colorless but flavored elementary fields M_j^i. Its Lagrangian at $\mu=\Lambda_Q$ looks as, see (1.1) for $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$,

$$\overline{K}=\mathrm{Tr}\,(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)+\mathrm{Tr}\left(\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2}\right)+\mathrm{Tr}\left(q^\dagger q+q\to\overline{q}\right),\quad \overline{\mathcal{W}}=-\frac{2\pi}{\overline{\alpha}(\mu=\Lambda_Q)}\,\overline{S}+\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\rm matter}\,,$$

$$\overline{\mathcal{W}}_{\rm matter}=\mathcal{W}_\Phi+\mathcal{W}_{\Phi M}+\mathcal{W}_q\,,\quad \mathcal{W}_{\Phi M}=\mathrm{Tr}\,(m_Q^{\rm tot}M)=\mathrm{Tr}\,(m_Q-\Phi)M\,,\quad \mathcal{W}_q=-\,\mathrm{Tr}\left(\overline{\mathrm{q}}\,\frac{\mathrm{M}}{\Lambda_{\mathrm{Q}}}\,\mathrm{q}\right). \quad (1.2)$$

Here: the number of dual colors is $\overline{N}_c=(N_F-N_c)$, M_j^i are N_F^2 Seiberg's elementary mion fields, $M_j^i\to(\overline{Q}_jQ^i)$, $\overline{a}(\mu)=\overline{N}_c\overline{\alpha}(\mu)/2\pi=\overline{N}_c\overline{g}^2(\mu)/8\pi^2$ is the dual running gauge coupling (with its scale parameter Λ_q), $\overline{S}=-\overline{\mathrm{W}}_\beta^B\,\overline{\mathrm{W}}^{B,\beta}/32\pi^2$, $\overline{\mathrm{W}}_\beta^B$ is the dual gluon field strength, $B=1...\overline{N}_c^2-1$. The gluino condensates of the direct and dual theories are matched, $\langle-\overline{S}\rangle=\langle S\rangle\equiv\Lambda_{YM}^3$, as well as $\langle M_j^i\rangle\equiv\langle M_j^i(\mu=\Lambda_Q)\rangle=\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i(\mu=\Lambda_Q)\rangle\equiv\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle$, and the scale parameter Λ_q of the dual gauge coupling is taken as $|\Lambda_q|=\Lambda_Q$. At $N_c<N_F<3N_c/2$, this dual theory is IR free and logarithmically weakly coupled at $\mu<\Lambda_Q$, $\overline{a}(\mu\ll\Lambda_Q)\sim 1/\log(\Lambda_Q/\mu)\ll 1$.

[1] The gluon exponents are always implied in the Kahler terms. Besides, here and everywhere below in the text we neglect for simplicity all RG-evolution effects if they are logarithmic only.

We studied these theories (1.1),(1.2) in previous articles [1, 2] at values of N_F in the range $3N_c/2 < N_F < 3N_c$, i.e. within the conformal window. The purpose of this article is to consider the range $N_c + 1 < N_F < 3N_c/2$. For such values of N_F, as was argued in detail in [8] (see section 7 therein), the UV free direct theory (1.1) enters smoothly at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ into the effectively massless perturbative (very) strongly coupled regime with $a(\mu \ll \Lambda_Q) \sim (\Lambda_Q/\mu)^{\nu_Q > 0} \gg 1$.

For a description of the RG evolution and calculations of mass spectra in various vacua in this regime we use the dynamical scenario introduced in [9]. This scenario assumes that quarks in such $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD-like theories can be in two *standard* phases only : these are either the HQ (heavy quark) phase where they are confined, or the Higgs phase where they form nonzero coherent condensate breaking the color symmetry. The word *standard* implies here also that, in such theories without elementary colored adjoint scalars, no additional parametrically light solitons (e.g. magnetic monopoles or dyons) are formed at those scales where quarks decouple as heavy or are higgsed.

Within this dynamical scenario, we calculate the mass spectra of the strongly coupled direct theory (1.1) in different vacua. It is shown that the use of this dynamical scenario leads to the results for the mass spectra which look self-consistent. Similarly to our previous studies of this theory (1.1) within the conformal window at $3N_c/2 < N_F < 3N_c$ in [1, 2], it is shown here that, due to a strong powerlike RG evolution at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ in this direct theory, the seemingly heavy and dynamically irrelevant fields Φ_i^j with $\mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ can become light and there appear then two additional generations of light Φ-particles with $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll \Lambda_Q$.

In parallel, we calculate the mass spectra in the Seiberg's dual theory (1.2). This IR free theory is logarithmically weakly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ and so needs no additional dynamical assumptions for calculations of mass spectra.

As described below in the text, comparison of mass spectra of direct and dual theories (1.1) and (1.2) shows, similarly to the standard direct and dual $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD theories (i.e. those in (1.1) and (1.2) but without fields Φ_i^j, see [9]), that mass spectra are parametrically different. It is worth to recall that the dynamical scenario from [9] used in this article satisfies all those tests which were used as checks of the Seiberg hypothesis about the equivalence of the direct and dual theories. This shows, in particular, that all these tests, although necessary, may well be insufficient.

The paper is organized as follows. Because the global flavor symmetry $U(N_F)$ is unbroken or broken spontaneously as $U(N_F) \to U(\mathrm{n}_1) \times U(\mathrm{n}_2)$ in different vacua of (1.1),(1.2), we consider these cases separately. Besides, because the parametric behavior of quark condensates and the whole dynamics are quite different in two regions $\mu_\Phi \gtrless \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c} \gg \Lambda_Q$, we also consider these regions separately. In the region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}}$, the vacua with unbroken $U(N_F)$ are considered in sections 2-3, while those with broken $U(N_F)$ in sections 4-6 (in both theories (1.1) and (1.2) separately). In the region $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, the vacua with unbroken $U(N_F)$ are considered in section 7, while those with broken $U(N_F)$ in sections 8-9.

The region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$

2 Unbroken flavor symmetry, L-vacua

2.1 Direct theory

The quark condensates (here and everywhere below always at the scale $\mu = \Lambda_Q$) in these L (large)-vacua with the multiplicity $(2N_c - N_F)$ look in this theory (1.1) as (see Appendix, $\langle S\rangle$ is the gluino condensate, $\mathrm{b_o} = 3N_c - N_F$)

$$\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_L \equiv \langle \overline{Q}Q(\mu = \Lambda_Q)\rangle_L \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F-N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q^2,\ \langle S\rangle_L = \Big(\frac{\langle \det \overline{Q}Q\rangle_L}{\Lambda_Q^{\mathrm{b_o}}}\Big)^{\frac{1}{N_F-N_c}} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F}{2N_c-N_F}}, \quad (2.1)$$

while from the Konishi anomaly [10]

$$\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_L \equiv \langle m_Q - \Phi\rangle_L = \frac{\langle S\rangle_L}{\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_L} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{2.2}$$

As was argued in detail in section 7 of [8], this UV free direct Φ-theory with the gauge coupling $a(\mu \gg \Lambda_Q) \equiv N_c g^2(\mu \gg \Lambda_Q)/8\pi^2 \ll 1$ enters smoothly the strong coupling regime at the scale $\mu < \Lambda_Q$, with the gauge coupling $a(\mu \ll \Lambda_Q) \gg 1$. The potentially important masses look then as follows, see e.g. Appendix in [2] for the values of quark and fion anomalous dimensions, γ_Q and $\gamma_\Phi = -2\gamma_Q$, at $a(\mu) \gg 1$:
a) the quark pole mass

$$m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} = \frac{\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_L}{z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole})} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F-N_c}{2N_c-N_F}}\,,\;\; z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\gamma_Q},\;\; \gamma_Q = \frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_F-N_c} > 0\,; \tag{2.3}$$

b) the gluon mass due to possible higgsing of quarks

$$\Big(\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}\Big)^2 \sim a(\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole})\, z_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}) \langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_L\,, \quad z_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q} \ll 1, \tag{2.4}$$

$$\frac{d\, a(\mu \ll \Lambda_Q)}{d\log\mu} = \beta_{NSVZ}(a) = \frac{a^2(\mu)}{a(\mu)-1}\,\frac{{\rm b_o} - N_F\gamma_Q}{N_c} \quad \xrightarrow{a(\mu)\gg 1} \quad -\nu_Q\, a(\mu), \quad {\rm b_o} = 3N_c - N_F\,,$$

$$\nu_Q = \frac{N_F\gamma_Q - {\rm b_o}}{N_c} = \frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_F-N_c} = \gamma_Q - 1 > 0\,, \quad a(\mu = \mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole} \ll \Lambda_Q) \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q} \gg 1,$$

$$a(\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole})\, z_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}) \sim \frac{\mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}, \quad \mu_{{\rm gl},L}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_L}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F-N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \sim m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}\,.$$

Because the global non-Abelian flavor symmetry $SU(N_F)$ is unbroken in these L-vacua, the quarks are not higgsed due to the rank condition $N_c < N_F$. This means that really $m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} = ({\rm several})\mu_{\rm gl,L}^{\rm pole}$, and **the overall phase is HQ** (heavy quark). [2]

The Lagrangian at scales μ such that $\mu_o^{\rm str} < \mu < \Lambda_Q$ looks as

$$K = z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q,\mu)\,{\rm Tr}\,(\,\Phi^\dagger\Phi\,) + z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu)\,{\rm Tr}\,\Big(Q^\dagger Q + Q\to\bar{Q}\Big)\,, \quad z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q,\mu) = 1/z_Q^2(\Lambda_Q,\mu)\,, \tag{2.5}$$

$$z_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu \ll \Lambda_Q) = \Big(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q} = \Big(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_F-N_c}} \ll 1,\; z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q,\mu) = \Big(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_\Phi=-2\gamma_Q} = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{N_F-N_c}} \gg 1.$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi + {\rm Tr}\,(\,\overline{Q}\, m_Q^{\rm tot} Q\,)\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_\Phi = \frac{\mu_\Phi}{2}\Big({\rm Tr}\,(\Phi^2) - \frac{1}{\overline{N}_c}({\rm Tr}\,\Phi)^2\Big), \quad m_Q^{\rm tot} = (m_Q - \Phi)\,. \tag{2.6}$$

Therefore, the running perturbative mass of Φ is $\mu_\Phi(\mu \ll \Lambda_Q) = \mu_\Phi/z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q,\mu) \ll \mu_\Phi$ and, if nothing prevents, the field Φ becomes dynamically relevant at scales $\mu < \mu_o^{\rm str}$, $\mu_\Phi(\mu = \mu_o^{\rm str}) = \mu_o^{\rm str}$,

$$\mu_o^{\rm str} = \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2\gamma_Q-1}} = \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}\,, \quad \gamma_Q = \frac{2N_c-N_F}{\overline{N}_c}\,, \quad \overline{N}_c = N_F - N_c\,,$$

$$\frac{\mu_o^{\rm str}}{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\Delta} \gg 1\,, \quad \Delta = \frac{\overline{N}_c(3N_c-2N_F)}{(2N_c-N_F)(5N_c-3N_F)} > 0\,, \tag{2.7}$$

[2] The same reasoning is used also everywhere below in similar cases.

and there is the second generation of all N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j with $\mu_{2,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_o^{\rm str} \gg m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$, see section 4 in [13]. Besides, even at lower scales $m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu \ll \mu_o^{\rm str}$ where the fields Φ_i^j became already effectively massless (in the sense $\mu_\Phi(\mu) \ll \mu$) and so dynamically relevant, the quark anomalous dimension $\gamma_Q = (2N_c - N_F)/\overline{N}_c$ remains the same, as well as $\gamma_\Phi = -2\gamma_Q$, see Appendix in [2].

At $\mu < m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$ all quarks decouple as heavy ones and the RG evolution of all fields Φ becomes frozen, but this happens in the region where they are already relevant, i.e. the running mass of fions $\mu_\Phi(\mu)$ is $\mu_\Phi(\mu = m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}) \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$. This means that there is the third generation of all N_F^2 fions with $\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$, see section 4 in [13] and the text below.

The lower energy theory at $\mu < m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$ is $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM in the strong coupling regime (plus N_F^2 colorless fions Φ). The scale factor $\langle\Lambda_{YM}\rangle_L$ of its gauge coupling is determined from the matching, see (2.3),(2.4) and section 7 in [8]:

$$\frac{d\, a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu)}{d\log\mu} = \beta_{NSVZ}^{YM}(a_{YM}^{\rm str}) = \frac{3(a_{YM}^{\rm str})^2}{a_{YM}^{\rm str} - 1} \quad \xrightarrow{a_{YM}^{\rm str}\gg 1} \quad 3\, a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu)\,, \qquad a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu \gg \Lambda_{YM}) \sim \Big(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_{YM}}\Big)^3 \gg 1,$$

$$a_+(\mu = m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \ll \Lambda_Q) \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q} = a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu = m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \gg \Lambda_{YM}) \sim \Big(\frac{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle}\Big)^3 \gg 1\,. \tag{2.8}$$

From (2.3),(2.4),(2.8)

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle^3 \sim \Lambda_Q^3 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F}{2N_c - N_F}}\,, \qquad \frac{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle}{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}} \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c - 2N_F}{3(2N_c - N_F)}} \ll 1\,, \tag{2.9}$$

as it should be because, see (2.1),

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_L = \left(\frac{\det\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_L}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\right)^{1/\overline{N}_c} \sim \Lambda_Q^3 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_F}{2N_c - N_F}}.$$

After lowering the scale down to $\mu < \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle$ and integrating out all gauge degrees of freedom via the VY (Veneziano-Yankielowicz)-procedure [11, 12], the low energy Lagrangian looks as, see (2.2),(2.3),(2.6)

$$K = z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole})\, {\rm Tr}\,(\,\Phi^\dagger\Phi\,)\,, \quad z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}) = \frac{1}{z_Q^2(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole})} = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c - N_F)}{N_F - N_c}} \gg 1, \tag{2.10}$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi + \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert} = N_c\Big(\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\Big)^3 = N_c\Big(\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}\det m_Q^{\rm tot}\Big)^{1/N_c}\,.$$

From (2.10), the pole masses of N_F^2 third generation fions Φ_i^j are (the contributions to $\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi)$ from $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$ and $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ are parametrically the same)

$$\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi}{z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole})} \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \qquad \frac{\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi)}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle} \sim \left(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\right)^{\frac{2(3N_c - 2N_F)}{3(2N_F - N_c)}} \ll 1\,. \tag{2.11}$$

On the whole for the case considered.
1) All quarks $\overline{Q}_j, Q^i$ are in the HQ (heavy quark) phase and weakly confined (i.e. the tension of the confining string originating from $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM is much smaller than quark masses, $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$, see (2.3),(2.9).

2) There is a large number of $SU(N_c)$ gluonia with the mass scale $\sim \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(L)} \rangle = \langle S \rangle_L^{1/3}$, see (2.9).
3) There are two generations of N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j with masses

$$\mu_{2,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_o^{\rm str} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}, \quad \mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi}\,. \tag{2.12}$$

The overall mass hierarchies look as

$$\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(L)} \rangle \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{2,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi\,. \tag{2.13}$$

2.2 Dual theory

The mass spectra in the Seiberg dual IR free and logarithmically weakly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ theory (1.2) were described for this case in section 7.1 of [2]. For the reader convenience and completeness we reproduce here the results.
1) All N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j have large masses $\mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ (with logarithmic accuracy) and are dynamically irrelevant at all lower scales.
2) All dual quarks $\overline{q}^j, q_i$ are in **the overall Hq** (heavy quark) phase. There is a large number of hadrons made of weakly interacting non-relativistic and weakly confined dual quarks, the scale of their masses is $\mu_{q,L}^{\rm pole} \sim \langle M \rangle_{\rm L}/\Lambda_Q = \langle \overline{Q}Q \rangle_{\rm L}/\Lambda_Q \sim m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$, see (2.3) (the tension of the confining string originating from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM is much smaller, $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(L)} \rangle \ll \mu_{q,L}^{\rm pole}$, see (2.9)).
3) A large number of gluonia made of $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ gluons with their mass scale $\sim \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(L)} \rangle = \langle S \rangle_L^{1/3} \sim \Lambda_Q (\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{N_F/3(2N_c-N_F)}$.
4) N_F^2 Seiberg's mions M_j^i with masses $\mu_L^{\rm pole}(M) \sim \Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi$.

The mass hierarchies look here as $\mu_L^{\rm pole}(M) \ll \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(L)} \rangle \ll \mu_q^{\rm pole} \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi$.

Comparing the mass spectra of the direct and dual theories we note the following.
1) The weakly confined dual quarks $\overline{q}^j, q_i$ inside dual hadrons are non-relativistic and parametrically weakly coupled (the dual coupling $\overline{a}$ at the scale of the Bohr momentum is logarithmically small). Therefore, the Coulomb mass splitting in the bound state (hadron) spectrum is also parametrically small, i.e. $\delta m_H/\mu_{q,L}^{\rm pole} \sim \overline{a}^{\,2} \ll 1$. There are no reasons for such a parametrically small mass splittings in the direct theory with the strongly coupled quarks $\overline{Q}_j, Q^i$.
2) The approximate global non-Abelian flavor symmetry (up to small power corrections) in both direct and dual theories is $SU(N_F)_L \times SU(N_F)_R$ at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$.
3) In the range of scales $\mu_o^{\rm str} \ll \mu \ll \Lambda_Q$ the effectively massless flavored particles in the direct theory are only quarks $\overline{Q}_j, Q^i$, while all N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j have large running masses $\mu_\Phi(\mu) > \mu$ and are dynamically irrelevant. In the dual theory the effectively massless flavored particles are the dual quarks $\overline{q}^j, q_i$ and N_F^2 mions M_j^i. Therefore, the anomalous 't Hooft triangles $SU^3(N_F)_L$ are the same in the direct and dual theories [6].
4) In the range of scales $\mu_{q,L}^{\rm pole} \sim m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu \ll \mu_o^{\rm str}$ the effectively massless flavored particles in the dual theory remain the same, while N_F^2 fions become effectively massless (i.e. the running mass $\mu_\Phi(\mu)$ of all fions is $\mu_\Phi(\mu) \ll \mu$) and give now additional contributions to $SU^3(N_F)_L$ triangles in the direct theory. Therefore, the $SU^3(N_F)_L$ triangles do not match now in the direct and dual theories.
5) At scales $\mu \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole} \sim \mu_{q,L}^{\rm pole}$ all direct and dual quarks decouple. In the range of scales $\mu_{3,L}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_L^{\rm pole}(M) \ll \mu \ll m_{Q,L}^{\rm pole}$ the effectively massless flavored particles in the direct theory are N_F^2 third generation fions Φ_i^j, while in the dual theory these are N_F^2 mions M_j^i. Therefore, the values of $SU^3(N_F)_L$ triangles differ in sign in the direct and dual theories.

3 Unbroken flavor symmetry, S-vacua

3.1 Direct theory

The quark condensates in these S (small)-vacua look as, see Appendix,

$$\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S \simeq -\frac{N_c}{\overline{N}_c}\, m_Q\mu_\Phi\,, \quad \langle S\rangle_S = \Big(\frac{\det\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{N_F/\overline{N}_c}. \tag{3.1}$$

The direct theory is strongly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$. Proceeding as in section 2.1 we obtain for this S-vacuum, see (2.3),(2.4),(2.8),(3.1),

$$\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_S = \frac{\langle S\rangle_S}{\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{N_c/\overline{N}_c}, \quad m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole} = \frac{\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_S}{z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole})} \sim \frac{\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \ll \Lambda_Q\,, \tag{3.2}$$

$$\Big(\mu_{{\rm gl},S}^{\rm pole}\Big)^2 \sim a(\mu_{{\rm gl},S}^{\rm pole}) z_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu_{{\rm gl},S}^{\rm pole})\,\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S \sim \frac{\mu_{{\rm gl},S}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\,\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S \quad \to \quad \mu_{{\rm gl},S}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \sim m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}\,.$$

Therefore, for the same reasons as in L-vacua in section 2.1, $m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole} = ({\rm several})\mu_{\rm gl,L}^{\rm pole}$ and **the overall phase is HQ**. Besides,

$$\frac{m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_o^{\rm str}} \sim \frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-3N_F}} \ll \frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-3N_F}} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c(3N_c-2N_F)}{N_c(5N_c-3N_F)}} \ll 1,\ \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}\,, \tag{3.3}$$

so that the running mass of all fions Φ_i^j is $\mu_\Phi(\mu < \mu_o^{\rm str}) < \mu$, all N_F^2 fions become dynamically relevant at $\mu < \mu_o^{\rm str}$ and there is the second generation of fions with $\mu_{2,S}^{\rm pole} \sim \mu_o^{\rm str} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)} \gg m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}$.

At $\mu < m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}$ all quarks decouple as heavy and, proceeding as in (2.8), we obtain the scale factor of remained $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM

$$a_+(\mu = m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q=(3N_c-2N_F)/\overline{N}_c} = a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu = m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\mu = m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle}\Big)^3 \gg 1\,. \tag{3.4}$$

From (3.2),(3.4)

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle^3 \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{N_F/\overline{N}_c}, \quad \frac{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle}{m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{(3N_c-2N_F)/3\overline{N}_c} \ll \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{(3N_c-2N_F)/3N_c} \ll 1\,, \tag{3.5}$$

as it should be because, see (3.1),

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_S = \left(\frac{\det\langle\bar{Q}Q\rangle_S}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\right)^{1/\overline{N}_c} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{N_F/\overline{N}_c}. \tag{3.6}$$

The low energy Lagrangian at $\mu < \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle$ has the same form as in (2.10), but now in S - vacua. In this case the main contribution to the masses of third generation fions originates from the nonperturbative term in (2.10) and is

$$\mu_{3,S}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \frac{1}{z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole})}\,\frac{\langle S\rangle_S}{\langle m_{Q,S}^{\rm tot}\rangle^2} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c}, \tag{3.7}$$

$$\frac{\mu_{3,S}^{\rm pole}(\Phi)}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{2(3N_c-2N_F)/3\overline{N}_c} < \Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{2(3N_c-2N_F)3\overline{N}_c} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{2(3N_c-2N_F)/3N_c} \ll 1\,. \tag{3.8}$$

The overall mass hierarchies look as

$$\mu_{3,S}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle \ll m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{2,S}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_o^{\rm str} \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi\,. \tag{3.9}$$

3.2 Dual theory

The mass spectra in the Seiberg dual $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ theory for this case were also described in section 7.2 of [2]. The results look as follows.
1) All N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j have large masses $\mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ (with logarithmic accuracy) and are dynamically irrelevant at all lower scales.
2) All dual quarks $\overline{q}^j, q_i$ are in **the overall Hq** (heavy quark) phase. There is a large number of hadrons made of weakly interacting non-relativistic and weakly confined dual quarks, the scale of their masses (with logarithmic accuracy) is $\mu_{q,S}^{\rm pole} \sim \langle M\rangle_{\rm S}/\Lambda_Q = \langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm S}/\Lambda_Q \sim (m_Q\mu_\Phi)/\Lambda_Q \sim m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}$, see (2.3),(3.1) (the tension of the confining string originating from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM is much smaller, $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle \ll \mu_{q,S}^{\rm pole} \sim m_{Q,S}^{\rm pole}$, see (3.5)).
3) A large number of gluonia made of $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ gluons with their mass scale $\sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle = \langle S\rangle_S^{1/3} \sim \Lambda_Q(m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q^2)^{N_F/3\overline{N}_c}$.
4) N_F^2 Seiberg's mions M_j^i with masses $\mu_S^{\rm pole}(M) \sim \Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi$.

The hierarchies of masses (except for $\mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$) look here as:
a) $\Lambda_Q \gg \mu_S^{\rm pole}(M) \gg \mu_q^{\rm pole} \gg \Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}$ at $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu'_\Phi = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{1/2}$;
b) $\Lambda_Q \gg \mu_q^{\rm pole} \gg \mu_S^{\rm pole}(M) \gg \Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}$ at $\mu'_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \tilde{\mu}_\Phi = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{N_F/(4N_F-3N_c)}$;
c) $\Lambda_Q \gg \mu_q^{\rm pole} \gg \Lambda_{YM}^{(S)} \gg \mu_S^{\rm pole}(M)$ at $\tilde{\mu}_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$.

It is seen that, at least, $\mu_{3,S}^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q^2\Big)^{(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c}$ (3.7) in the direct theory and $\mu_S^{\rm pole}(M) \sim \Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi$ in the dual one are parametrically different (the 't Hooft triangles $SU^3(N_F)_L$ are also different).

4 Broken flavor symmetry, L-type vacua

The main qualitative difference compared with the L-vacua in section 2 is the spontaneous breaking of the flavor symmetry, $U(N_F) \to U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$, see Appendix,

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{Lt} \equiv \langle\overline{Q}_1Q^1\rangle_{Lt} = \langle M_1\rangle_{Lt} \neq \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{Lt} = \langle\overline{Q}_2Q^2\rangle_{Lt} = \langle M_2\rangle_{Lt}\,,$$

$$(1-\frac{{\rm n}_1}{N_c})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{Lt} \simeq -\,(1-\frac{{\rm n}_2}{N_c})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{Lt} \sim \langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_L \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\overline{N}_c/(2N_c-N_F)} \ll \Lambda_Q^2\,. \qquad (4.1)$$

For this reason, unlike the L-vacua, the fions Φ_2^1, Φ_1^2 in the direct theory and mions M_2^1, M_1^2 in the dual one are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless. Except for this, all other masses in these Lt-vacua of the direct and dual theories are parametrically the same as in L-vacua. Therefore, all differences between the direct and dual theories described above for the L-vacua remain in L-type vacua also.

5 Broken flavor symmetry, br2 vacua

5.1 Direct theory

The quark condensates look here as, see Appendix,

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2} \simeq \frac{N_c}{N_c-{\rm n}_2}m_Q\mu_\Phi\,, \quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2} \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}}\,, \qquad (5.1)$$

$$\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}\sim\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{n_2-N_c}}\ll 1\,,\quad \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}=\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}\,,$$

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle^3\equiv\langle S\rangle_{\rm br2}=\Big(\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}^{\rm n_1}\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}^{\rm n_2}}{\Lambda_Q^{3N_c-N_F}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c}\sim\Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}}\,.$$

From (5.1) and the Konishi anomalies,

$$\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm br2}=m_Q-\langle\Phi_1\rangle_{\rm br2}=\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\mu_\Phi}\sim m_Q\gg\langle m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm br2}=\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\mu_\Phi}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{n_2-N_c}},$$

$$m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}=\frac{\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm br2}}{z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})}\sim\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c},\ z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})=\Big(\frac{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q^+},\ \gamma_Q^+=\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_F-N_c}\,,\qquad(5.2)$$

while the gluon mass from the possible higgsing of $\overline{Q}_2,Q^2$ quarks looks here as, see (2.4),

$$\Big(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}\Big)^2\sim\Big[a_+(\mu=\mu_{gl,2})=\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q^+}\Big]z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}\,,\quad\nu_Q^+=\gamma_Q^+-1=\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_F-N_c}\,,$$

$$a_+(\mu_{gl,2})\,z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\sim\frac{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\,,\quad\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}\sim\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\Lambda_Q}\sim\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\gg\mu_{gl,1}\,,\quad\frac{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}\sim\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}\ll 1\,.\quad(5.3)$$

Besides, see (2.7), because the largest mass in the quark-gluon sector is $m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}$ and

$$\frac{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_o^{\rm str}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}\ll\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c(3N_c-2N_F)}{N_c(5N_c-3N_F)}>0}\ll 1\,,\qquad(5.4)$$

$$\mu_o^{\rm str}=\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2\gamma_Q^+-1}}=\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}\ll\Lambda_Q\,,\quad\overline{N}_c=N_F-N_c\,,$$

there are N_F^2 of 2-nd generation fions Φ_i^j with masses $\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi)\sim\mu_o^{\rm str}=\Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}\gg m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}$.

After the heaviest quarks $\overline{Q}_1,Q^1$ decouple at $\mu<m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}$, the RG evolution of Φ_1^1, Φ_2^1, Φ_1^2 fions is frozen, while the new quantum numbers are

$$N_F'=N_F-n_1=n_2\,,\ \ N_c'=N_c\,,\ \gamma_Q^-=\frac{2N_c-N_F+n_1}{n_2-N_c}>1\,,\ \ \nu_Q^-=\frac{3N_c-2N_F+2n_1}{n_2-N_c}>0\,.\qquad(5.5)$$

From (5.5), the pole mass of $\overline{Q}_2,Q^2$ quarks is, see (5.2),

$$m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}=\frac{\langle m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm br2}}{z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})z_Q^-(m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole},m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})}\sim\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\,,\qquad(5.6)$$

$$z_Q^-(m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole},m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})=\Big(\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\gamma_Q^-},\quad\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}\sim\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}\ll 1\,.$$

Besides, because $n_2>N_c$ in these br2-vacua, the quarks $\overline{Q}_2,Q^2$ are not higgsed due to the rank condition $N_c<n_2$, as otherwise the flavor symmetry $U(n_2)$ will be further broken spontaneously. Therefore, $m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}=(\text{several})\mu_{\rm gl,2}^{\rm pole}$ and **the overall phase is $\mathbf{HQ_1-HQ_2}$**. All quarks are not higgsed

but confined, the confinement originates from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM, so that the scale of the confining string is $\sqrt{\sigma}\sim\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle$, see (5.1).

After the quarks $\overline{Q}_2, Q^2$ decouple at $\mu<m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}$, there remain $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM and N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j. The value of the scale factor $\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle$ of SYM is determined from the matching

$$a_-(\mu=m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})=\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q^+}\Big(\frac{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q^-}=a_{YM}^{\rm str}(\mu=m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})=\Big(\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle}\Big)^3\quad\to$$

$$\to\quad\Big(\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle\Big)^3\sim\Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}}\,, \tag{5.7}$$

as it should be, see (5.1),

$$\frac{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle}{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{3(n_2-N_c)}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+2n_1}{3(n_2-N_c)}}\ll\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{3(n_2-N_c)}}\Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+2n_1}{3(n_2-N_c)}}\sim \tag{5.8}$$

$$\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{(3N_c-2N_F)(\overline{N}_c-n_1)}{3N_c(n_2-N_c)}>0}\ll 1\,,\quad n_1<\overline{N}_c\,,\quad n_2>N_c\,.$$

After integrating out all gluons at $\mu<\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle$ via the VY procedure [11], the lower energy Lagrangian of N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j looks as, see (1.1) for $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$,

$$K_\Phi=z_\Phi^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})\,{\rm Tr}\,\Big[(\Phi_1^1)^\dagger\Phi_1^1+(\Phi_2^1)^\dagger\Phi_2^1+(\Phi_1^2)^\dagger\Phi_1^2+z_\Phi^-(m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole},m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})\,(\Phi_2^2)^\dagger\Phi_2^2\Big], \tag{5.9}$$

$$\mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}_\Phi+\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert},\quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}=N_c\Big(\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}\det m_Q^{\rm tot}\Big)^{1/N_c},\quad m_Q^{\rm tot}=(m_Q-\Phi)\,.$$

From (5.9), the masses of the third generation fions look as, see (5.1),(5.2),(5.6),

$$\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)\sim\frac{\mu_\Phi}{z_\Phi^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})}=\mu_\Phi\Big(z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})\Big)^2\sim\mu_\Phi\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{N_c}}\,, \tag{5.10}$$

$$z_\Phi^\pm(\mu_1,\mu_2)=(\frac{\mu_2}{\mu_1})^{\gamma_\Phi^\pm=-2\gamma_Q^\pm}\,,\quad \frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}\ll\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)}{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_c}}\ll 1\,,$$

$$\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)\sim\frac{\langle S\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm br2}^2}\,\frac{1}{z_\Phi^+(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})z_\Phi^-(m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole},m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})}\sim\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}\,\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)}{z_\Phi^-(m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole},m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})}\,, \tag{5.11}$$

$$\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)\sim\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F+n_1}{n_2-N_c}},\qquad\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)}{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle}\ll 1\,,$$

(the main contribution to $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)$ originates from the term $\mathcal{W}_\Phi\sim\mu_\Phi{\rm Tr}\,(\Phi^2)$ in (5.9), while the main contribution to $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$ originates from $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ in (5.9)).

The fions Φ_1^2 and Φ_2^1 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless.

On the whole for this case the mass spectrum looks as follows.

1) Among the masses smaller than Λ_Q, the largest are the masses of N_F^2 2-nd generation fions, $\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi)\sim\mu_o^{\rm str}\sim\Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$.

2) Next are masses of $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ quarks, $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1} \sim \Lambda_Q (m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c}$, see (5.2).
3) The masses of $\overline{Q}_2, Q^2$ quarks are $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} \sim m_Q \mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1}$, see (5.6).
4) There is a large number of $SU(N_c)$ SYM gluonia with the mass scale $\sim \langle \Lambda^{(\rm br2)}_{YM} \rangle \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}$, see (5.7),(5.8).
5) The 3-rd generation fions Φ^1_1 have masses $\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1) \sim \mu_\Phi (m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/N_c} \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1}$, see (5.10).
6) The 3-rd generation fions Φ^2_2 have masses (5.11), $\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2) \ll \langle \Lambda^{(\rm br2)}_{YM} \rangle$.
7) The 3-rd generation fions Φ^2_1, Φ^1_2 are the massless Nambu-Goldstone particles.
The overall hierarchy of nonzero masses look as

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2) \ll \langle \Lambda^{(\rm br2)}_{YM} \rangle \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1} \ll \mu^{\rm pole}_2(\Phi) \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu^{\rm pole}_1(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi,$$

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1) \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1}\,, \quad \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}} = \Lambda_Q (\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}.$$

Let us point out also the following, see section 8.1 in [2]. With the substitution, see (8.1.24) in [2],

$$\Lambda_Q = \Lambda_2 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_2}{\mu_{\rm x}}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{3N_c-2N_F}}, \quad m_Q = m \Big(\frac{\mu_{\rm x}}{\Lambda_2}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{3N_c-2N_F}}, \quad \mu_\Phi = \Lambda_2 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_2}{\mu_{\rm x}}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{3N_c-2N_F}}, \quad m \ll \mu_{\rm x} \ll \Lambda_2\,, \quad (5.12)$$

the particle masses look then as follows:
a) the masses $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1}$ of $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ quarks, see (5.2), compare with (8.1.12) in [2],

$$m^{\rm pole}_{Q,1} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}} \sim \Lambda_2 (\frac{m}{\Lambda_2})^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}} \sim \mu^{\rm pole}_{\mathsf{q},1}\,,$$

b) the masses $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}$ of $\overline{Q}_2, Q^2$ quarks, see (5.6), compare with (8.1.12) in [2],

$$m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} \sim \frac{m_Q \mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \sim m \sim \mu^{\rm pole}_{\mathsf{q},2}\,,$$

c) the mass scale of gluonia, see (5.7), compare with (8.1.8) in [2],

$$\Big(\langle \Lambda^{(\rm br2)}_{YM} \rangle\Big)^3 \sim \Lambda^3_Q \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{n_2-N_c}} \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}} \sim \mu_{\rm x} m^2 \Big(\frac{m}{\Lambda_2}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{n_2-N_c}} \sim \langle \Lambda^{(\rm br1)}_{YM} \rangle^3\,,$$

d) The masses of 3-rd generation fions Φ^1_1, see (5.10), compare with (8.1.16) in [2],

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1) \sim \mu_\Phi \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{N_c}} \sim \mu_{\rm x} \Big(\frac{m}{\Lambda_2}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{N_c}} \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(M^1_1)\,,$$

e) The masses of 3-rd generation fions Φ^2_2, see (5.11), compare with (8.1.17) in [2],

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2) \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{n_2-N_c}} \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F+n_1}{n_2-N_c}} \sim \mu_{\rm x} \Big(\frac{m}{\Lambda_2}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{n_2-N_c}} \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(M^2_2)\,,$$

Therefore, with the choice (5.12) in br2 vacua and with the correspondence $Q^i \leftrightarrow \mathsf{q}_i$, $\Phi^j_i \leftrightarrow M^i_j$, the spectra of masses smaller than $\mu_{\rm x}$ coincide in the direct $SU(N_c)$ theory (1.1) (this is the direct theory (8.1.18) in [2]) and in the dual $SU(N_c)$ theory (8.1.11) in [2], both $SU(N_c)$ theories (8.1.18) and (8.1.11) in [2] with $N_c+1 < N_F < 3N_c/2$ quark flavors are strongly coupled at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$.

5.2 Dual theory

For the reader convenience and to make this article self-contained, we reproduce below in short the results from the section 7.3 in [2] for the mass spectra of the weakly coupled dual theory (1.2) (with (5.12), the spectrum of masses smaller than $\mu_{\rm x}$ in the case "**A**" below is the same as in the theories (8.1.7) and (8.1.19) in [2]).

A) The range $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{1/2}$

The overall phase is Higgs$_1$ − Hq$_2$ in this range due to higgsing of dual quarks $q_1, \overline{q}^1$, $SU(\overline{N}_c) \to SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$. On the whole for this case the mass spectrum looks as follows.

1) The heaviest (among the masses $< \Lambda_Q$) are N_F^2 mions M_j^i with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M) \sim \Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi$.

2) There are $n_1(2\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ massive dual gluons (and their super-partners) with masses $\overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \langle N_1\rangle^{1/2} \sim (m_Q\Lambda_Q)^{1/2}$.

3) There is a large number of hadrons made of weakly interacting non-relativistic and weakly confined dual quarks q_2' and $\overline{q}^{\prime,2}$ with unbroken colors, the scale of their masses is $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q \sim m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}$, see (5.6), (the tension of the confining string is much smaller, $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$, see (5.8)).

4) The masses of n_1^2 nions N_1^1 (dual pions) are also $\mu^{\rm pole}(N_1^1) \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q$.

5) There is a large number of $SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ SYM gluonia, the scale of their masses is $\sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \sim (m_Q\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br2})^{1/3} = (m_Q\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2})^{1/3}$, see (5.1),(5.7).

6) Finally, $2n_1n_2$ Nambu-Goldstone hybrid nions N_1^2, N_2^1 are massless.

The overall hierarchy of nonzero masses looks as:

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \mu(N_1^1) \sim \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \ll \overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1} \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M) \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{5.13}$$

B) The range $\Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{1/2} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$

The largest mass have in this case $q_2, \overline{q}^2$ quarks, see (5.1)

$$\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\Lambda_Q} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\,. \tag{5.14}$$

After integrating them out at $\mu < \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$, the new scale factor of the gauge coupling is

$$\Big(\Lambda''\Big)^{3\overline{N}_c-n_1} = \Lambda_Q^{\overline{\rm b}_{\rm o}}\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{n_2}, \quad \Lambda'' \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}\,, \quad \overline{\rm b}_{\rm o} = 3\overline{N}_c - N_F\,, \quad n_1 < \overline{N}_c\,. \tag{5.15}$$

In the range $(\Lambda_Q^3/m_Q)^{1/2} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi'' = \Lambda_Q\Big(\Lambda_Q/m_Q\Big)^{(3N_c-N_F-n_1)/2{\rm n}_2} \ll \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}$ the hierarchies look as: $\overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1} \gg \Lambda'' \gg \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$, and therefore the quarks $q_1, \overline{q}^1$ are higgsed in the weak coupling region at $\mu = \overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1} \gg \Lambda''$, **the overall phase is also Higgs$_1$ − Hq$_2$**. The mass spectrum looks in this region as follows (as previously, all mass values are given below up to logarithmic factors). -

1) There are $2n_1n_2$ unconfined dual quarks $q_2, \overline{q}^2$ with broken colors, their masses (up to logarithmic factors) are $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim \langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br2}/\Lambda_Q \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q$.

2) The quarks $q_2', \overline{q}^{\prime,2}$ with unbroken $SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ dual colors are confined and there is a large number of dual hadrons made from these weakly coupled and weakly confined non-relativistic quarks, the scale of their masses is also $\mu_H \sim (m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q)$ (the tension of the confining string originated from $SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SYM is $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$).

3) $n_1(2\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ massive gluons (and their super-partners) due to higgsing $SU(\overline{N}_c) \to SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ by $q_1, \overline{q}^1$ quarks, $\overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim (m_Q\Lambda_Q)^{1/2} \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$.

3) n_1^2 nions N_1^1 and n_1^2 mions M_1^1 also have masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(N_1^1) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \sim (m_Q\Lambda_Q)^{1/2}$.
4) There is a large number of gluonia from $SU(\overline{N}_c - n_1)$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM, the scale of their masses is $\sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \sim (m_Q\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br2})^{1/3} \sim \Lambda_Q(m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{({\rm n_2-n_1})/3(\overline{N}_c-{\rm n_1})}(\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q)^{{\rm n_2}/3(\overline{N}_c-{\rm n_1})}$.
5) n_2^2 mions M_2^2 have masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \sim \Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi$.
6) $2n_1n_2$ mions M_1^2 and M_2^1 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless, $\mu(M_1^2) = \mu(M_2^1) = 0$.

The overall hierarchy of nonzero masses looks in this range $(\Lambda_Q^3/m_Q)^{1/2} < \mu < \mu''_\Phi$ as

$$\mu(M_2^2) \ll \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1} \sim \mu(N_1^1) \sim \mu(M_1^1) \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{5.16}$$

But the hierarchies look as $\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim (\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br2}/\Lambda_Q) \gg \Lambda'' \gg \overline{\mu}_{\rm gl,1}$ at $\mu''_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}$, the quarks $q_1, \overline{q}^1$ are then too heavy and not higgsed, and **the overall phase is $\mathbf{Hq_2 - Hq_1}$** (heavy quarks). The mass spectrum looks in this region as follows.
1) All quarks are confined and there is a large number of dual hadrons made from these weakly coupled and weakly confined non-relativistic quarks, the scale of their masses is $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim (m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q) \gg \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim (\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br2}/\Lambda_Q) = (\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}/\Lambda_Q)$, see (5.1) (the tension of the confining string originated from $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM is $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$).
2) A large number of gluonia from $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM, the scale of their masses is $\sim \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \sim (m_Q\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br2})^{1/3} \sim \Lambda_Q(m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{({\rm n_2-n_1})/3(\overline{N}_c-{\rm n_1})}(\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q)^{{\rm n_2}/3(\overline{N}_c-{\rm n_1})}$.
3) n_1^2 mions M_1^1 with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \sim \Lambda_Q^2\langle M_2\rangle/\mu_\Phi\langle M_1\rangle$.
4) n_2^2 mions M_2^2 with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \sim (\Lambda_Q^2/\mu_\Phi) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1)$.
5) $2{\rm n_1n_2}$ mions M_1^2 and M_2^1 are the massless Nambu-Goldstone particles.

The overall hierarchy of nonzero masses look in this range $\mu''_\Phi < \mu_\Phi < \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}$ as

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \ll \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm br2)}\rangle \ll \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{5.17}$$

Comparing with the direct theory in section 5.1 it is seen that the mass spectra are parametrically different.

6 Broken flavor symmetry, special vacua

6.1 Direct theory

The condensates in these vacua with ${\rm n_1} = \overline{N}_c$, ${\rm n_2} = N_c$ look as, see Appendix,

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle = \frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}m_Q\mu_\Phi,\ \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle = Z_{2N_c-N_F}\Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}},\ \ Z_{2N_c-N_F} = \exp\{\frac{2\pi i}{2N_c-N_F}\}, \tag{6.1}$$

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm spec)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\mu_\Phi} = Z_{2N_c-N_F}m_Q\Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}}\,,\quad \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle} \sim \frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll 1\,,$$

$$\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\mu_\Phi} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q\,,\quad \langle m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\rangle = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle}{\mu_\Phi} = \frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}m_Q \ll \langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle\,.$$

This direct theory is UV free and is in the strong coupling regime at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$, with the gauge coupling $a(\mu \ll \Lambda_Q) = (\Lambda_Q/\mu)^{\nu_Q>0} \gg 1$, see section 7 in [8] and (2.3),(2.4). The potentially most important masses look in these vacua as follows. The quark mass looks as, see (6.1),

$$m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole} = \frac{\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle}{z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q},\ z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu) = \Big(\frac{\mu}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q},\ \gamma_Q = \frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_F-N_c} > 0. \tag{6.2}$$

The gluon mass due to possible higgsing of quarks looks as, see (6.1),

$$\Big(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}\Big)^2 \sim a(\mu=\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\, z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim \frac{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\,\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle\,, \tag{6.3}$$

$$a(\mu=\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\sim\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\nu_Q},\quad z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})=\Big(\frac{\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q},\quad \nu_Q=\gamma_Q-1=\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_F-N_c}>0,$$

$$\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}\sim\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q}\equiv\frac{\langle\Pi_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q}\sim\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}}=m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}/(\text{several})\ll\Lambda_{\rm Q}\,,\quad \mu_{\rm gl,2}^{\rm pole}\gg\mu_{\rm gl,1}^{\rm pole}\,.$$

Therefore, **the overall phase is $\mathbf{HQ_1-Higgs_2}$**. After integrating $Q^1,\overline{Q}_1$ quarks as heavy at $\mu\sim m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}$, there remain $SU(N_c)$ SYM and $N_F'=N_c$ quarks $Q^2,\overline{Q}_2$ which are higgsed at the same scale $\sim\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle/\Lambda_Q$. We use for this case $N_F'=N_c$ the Lagrangian form proposed by Seiberg in [5].

As for the Kahler kinetic terms, we write them as

$$K=\mathrm{Tr}\left[z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q,m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})\,\Phi^\dagger\Phi+\frac{(\Pi_2^2)^\dagger\Pi_2^2}{\Lambda_Q^2}\right]+(B_2^\dagger B_2+\overline{B}_2^\dagger\,\overline{B}_2)\,,\ \Pi_j^i=(\overline{Q}_jQ^i)\,,\ i,j=\overline{N}_c+1...N_F\,. \tag{6.4}$$

The kinetic term K_Π of $\mathrm{n}_2^2=N_c^2$ pions Π_2^2 in (6.4) needs some explanations. There are two different contributions to K_Π. The first one originates directly from the kinetic term of higgsed quarks, see (1.1),(6.2),(6.3),

$$K_Q=z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\,\mathrm{Tr}\left[(Q_2^2)^\dagger Q_2^2+(Q_2^2\to\overline{Q}_2^{\,2})\right]\to K_\Pi^{(\rm Born)}\sim z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\sqrt{(\Pi_2^2)^\dagger\Pi_2^2}\,. \tag{6.5}$$

The second one originates from the loop of either massive gluons or massive higgsed quarks (superpartners of massive gluons) integrated over the non-parametric interval of momenta $p_E\sim\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}$. It looks parametrically as ($(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})^2\sim a_+(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\sqrt{(\Pi_2^2)^\dagger\Pi_2^2}$, see (6.3), Q_2^2, $\overline{\mathsf{Q}}_2^{\,2}$ are canonically normalized quark fields):

$$K_\Pi^{(\rm loop)}\sim z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\,\mathrm{Tr}\,\langle\langle\,(Q_2^2)^\dagger Q_2^2\,\rangle\rangle=\mathrm{Tr}\,\langle\langle\,(\mathsf{Q}_2^2)^\dagger\mathsf{Q}_2^2\,\rangle\rangle\sim\mathrm{Tr}\int\frac{d^4p_E}{[\,p_E^2+(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})^2\,]}\sim$$

$$\sim\mathrm{Tr}\,(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})^2\sim\mathrm{Tr}\left[\,a_+(\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\sqrt{(\Pi_2^2)^\dagger\Pi_2^2}\,\right],\quad r=\frac{K_\Pi^{(\rm loop)}}{K_\Pi^{(\rm Born)}}\sim\ a_+(\mu=\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\,. \tag{6.6}$$

So, $r\ll1$ if quarks were higgsed in the weak coupling regime $a_+(\mu=\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\ll1$, but $r\gg1$ in our case of the strong coupling regime $a_+(\mu=\mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})\gg1$. Therefore, see (6.3), $K_\Pi\simeq K_\Pi^{(\rm loop)}$ is as in (6.4).

As for the superpotential, it looks as, see (1.1) for $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$,

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter}=\mathcal{W}_\Phi+\mathrm{Tr}\,(m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\Pi_2^2)-\mathrm{Tr}\left(\Phi_2^1\frac{\Pi_2^2}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}}\Phi_1^2\right)+\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}\,, \tag{6.7}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}=A\left(1-\frac{\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle}{m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}}\det\Big(\frac{\Pi_2^2}{\lambda^2}\Big)+\frac{\overline{B}_2B_2}{\lambda^2}\right),\quad \lambda^2=\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle=\langle\Pi_2\rangle\,,\quad \langle A\rangle=\langle S\rangle\,.$$

In (6.7): A is the Lagrange multiplier field, the additional factor $\langle m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}\rangle/m_{Q,1}^{\rm tot}$ in $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ is needed to obtain from (6.7) the right value of $\langle\Phi_1\rangle$, the third term in $\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter}$ originated from integrating out heavy quarks $Q^1,\overline{Q}_1$.

We obtain from (6.4),(6.7):
a) the masses of $\overline{N}_c^{\,2}$ fions Φ_1^1 are, see (6.1),(6.2),

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1) \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi}{z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole})} \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \quad z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_\Phi} \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi^2}{\Lambda_Q^2}\,, \quad \gamma_\Phi = -2\gamma_Q\,, \tag{6.8}$$

(the main contribution to $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)$ originates from the term $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$ in (6.7), see (1.1);

b) N_c^2 fields Π_2^2 and N_c^2 fields Φ_2^2 are mixed significantly and physical fields $\widehat{\Pi}_2^2$, $\widehat{\Phi}_2^2$ have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(\widehat{\Pi}_2^2) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(\widehat{\Phi}_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi}\,; \tag{6.9}$$

c) the baryons B_2, $\overline{B}_2$ have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(B_2) = \mu^{\rm pole}(\overline{B}_2) \sim \frac{\langle S\rangle}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle} = \langle m_{Q,2}^{\rm tot}\rangle \sim m_Q\,; \tag{6.10}$$

d) $2{\rm n}_1{\rm n}_2 = 2\overline{N}_cN_c$ hybrid fions Φ_2^1, Φ_1^2 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless.

The hierarchies of nonzero masses look as

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(B_2) \sim m_Q \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(\widehat{\Pi}_2^2) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(\widehat{\Phi}_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \ll \mu_{gl,2}^{\rm pole} \sim m_{Q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q.$$

6.2 Dual theory

The condensates look here as, see (6.1),

$$\langle M_j^i\rangle = \langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle, \quad \langle(\overline{q}q)_i\rangle = \frac{\langle S\rangle}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_i\rangle}\Lambda_Q = \langle m_{Q,i}^{\rm tot}\rangle\Lambda_Q, \quad i=1,\,2\,. \tag{6.11}$$

This dual theory is in the IR free logarithmic regime at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ (all logarithmic factors of the RG evolution are ignored below for simplicity). All N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ remain too heavy at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ and can be integrated out from the beginning. The superpotential of the dual theory at $\mu = \Lambda_Q$ looks then as

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_M - {\rm Tr}\,\Big(\overline{q}\,\frac{M}{\Lambda_Q}\,q\Big), \quad \mathcal{W}_M = m_Q{\rm Tr}\,M - \frac{1}{2\mu_\Phi}\Big[\,{\rm Tr}\,(M^2) - \frac{1}{N_c}\Big({\rm Tr}\,M\Big)^2\,\Big]\,. \tag{6.12}$$

The potentially most important masses look here as follows.
a) The pole masses of dual quarks

$$\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim \mu_{q,2} \equiv \mu_{q,2}(\mu=\Lambda_Q) = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \gg \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\,. \tag{6.13}$$

b) The gluon masses due to possible higgsing of dual quarks

$$\overline{\mu}_{gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \langle(\overline{q}q)_1\rangle^{1/2} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2(2N_c-N_F)}} \gg \overline{\mu}_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}\,, \quad \Big(\frac{\overline{\mu}_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^2 \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll 1\,, \tag{6.14}$$

$$\Big(\frac{\overline{\mu}_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^2 \sim \Big(\frac{\widehat{\mu}_\Phi}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{5N_c-2N_F}{2N_c-N_F}}\,, \quad \Lambda_Q \ll \widehat{\mu}_\Phi = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-2N_F}} \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}\,.$$

As it is seen from (6.14), the hierarchy $\mu^{\rm pole}_{q,1} \lesseqgtr \overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}$ changes at $\mu_\Phi \lesseqgtr \widehat{\mu}_\Phi$. As a result, **the overall phase changes also**: it is $\mathbf{Higgs_1 - Hq_2}$ at $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \widehat{\mu}_\Phi$ and $\mathbf{Hq_1 - Hq_2}$ at $\widehat{\mu}_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$.

A). Consider first the region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \widehat{\mu}_\Phi$. The dual quarks $q_2, \overline{q}^2$ decouple as heavy in the weak coupling regime at $\mu = \mu^{\rm pole}_{q,2} \ll \Lambda_Q$ and there remains $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ with ${\rm n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$ flavors of quarks $q_1, \overline{q}^1$. The scale factor of the gauge coupling is

$$\Big(\widehat{\Lambda}\Big)^{3\overline{N}_c - {\rm n}_1 = 2\overline{N}_c} = \Lambda_Q^{3\overline{N}_c - N_F} \mu_{q,2}^{N_c}\,, \quad \widehat{\Lambda} = \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2(2N_c - N_F)}} \sim \overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}. \tag{6.15}$$

After integrating out $q_2, \overline{q}^2$ quarks as heavy at $\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_{q,2}$, the dual Lagrangian looks as (all logarithmic factors in the Kahler term are ignored for simplicity)

$$K = {\rm Tr}\,\Big[\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2} + (q_1)^\dagger q_1 + (q_1 \to \overline{q}^1)\Big]\,,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter} = \mathcal{W}_M + {\rm Tr}\,\left(\overline{q}^1 M_1^2 \frac{1}{\Lambda_Q M_1^1} M_2^1 q_1\right) - {\rm Tr}\,\Big(\overline{q}^1 \frac{M_1^1}{\Lambda_Q} q_1\Big)\,, \tag{6.16}$$

$\mathcal{W}_M$ is given in (6.12).

All $\overline{N}_c$ flavors of quarks $q_1, \overline{q}^1$ are higgsed at $\mu \sim \overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{gl,1} \sim \widehat{\Lambda}$, and we use for this case $N'_F = {\rm n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$ the form of $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ proposed Seiberg in [5],

$$K = {\rm Tr}\,\Big[\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2} + 2\sqrt{(N_1^1)^\dagger N_1^1}\Big] + \Big(B_1^\dagger B_1 + (B_1 \to \overline{B}_1)\Big)\,, \quad N_i^j = (\overline{q}^j q_i)\,,\ i,j = 1...\overline{N}_c\,,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm matter} = \mathcal{W}_M - {\rm Tr}\ \frac{M_1^1 N_1^1}{\Lambda_Q} + {\rm Tr}\ \left(M_1^2 \frac{N_1^1}{\Lambda_Q M_2^2} M_2^1\right) + \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}\,, \tag{6.17}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert} = \overline{A}\left(1 - \frac{\langle M_2^2\rangle}{M_2^2}\det\Big(\frac{N_1^1}{\langle N_1\rangle}\Big) + \frac{\overline{B}_1 B_1}{\langle N_1\rangle}\right),\ \langle\overline{A}\rangle = \langle\overline{S}\rangle = \langle -S\rangle,\ \langle N_1\rangle = \langle(\overline{q}q)_1\rangle \sim (\widehat{\Lambda})^2, \tag{6.18}$$

where $\overline{A}$ is the (dual) Lagrange multiplier field, N_1^1 are $\overline{N}_c^2$ dual pions (nions), the factor $\langle M_2^2\rangle/M_2^2$ in $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ is needed to obtain the right value of $\langle M_2^2\rangle$, $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is given in (6.15).
We obtain from (6.18) for the particle masses:
a) $\overline{N}_c^2$ fields M_1^1 and $\overline{N}_c^2$ fields N_1^1 have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}(N_1^1) \sim \overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{gl,1} \sim \Lambda_Q \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2(2N_c - N_F)}}\,, \tag{6.19}$$

b) the masses of baryons are

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(B_1) = \mu^{\rm pole}(\overline{B}_1) \sim \frac{\langle M_1\rangle}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \frac{m_Q \mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1)\,, \tag{6.20}$$

c) N_c^2 mions M_2^2 have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \ll \Lambda_Q\,, \tag{6.21}$$

d) $2\mathrm{n}_1\mathrm{n}_2 = 2\overline{N}_c N_c$ hybrid mions M_2^1, M_1^2 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless.

The hierarchies of nonzero masses look in this region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \widehat{\mu}_\Phi = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-2N_F}}$ as

$$\mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \ll \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_1^1) \sim \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(N_1^1) \sim \overline{\mu}_{gl,1}^{\,\mathrm{pole}} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2(2N_c-N_F)}} \ll \tag{6.22}$$

$$\ll \mu_{q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q\,, \quad \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_2^2) \lesssim \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(B_1) \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\,.$$

B) The region $\Lambda_Q \ll \widehat{\mu}_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$. The superpotential (6.12) remains the same, the difference at lower energies is that quarks $\overline{q}^1, q_1$ are not higgsed now but decouple as heavy at $\mu < \mu_{q,1}^{\mathrm{pole}} \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}} \ll \Lambda_Q$, still in the weak coupling regime, see (6.13),(6.14). The scale factor of the gauge coupling of remained unbroken $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM at $\mu < \mu_{q,1}^{\mathrm{pole}}$ looks as, see (6.13),(6.1),

$$\lambda_{YM}^{3\overline{N}_c} = \Lambda_Q^{3\overline{N}_c-N_F}\mu_{q,2}^{N_c}\,\mu_{q,1}^{\overline{N}_c}\,, \quad \lambda_{YM}^3 = m_Q\Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}} = \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\mathrm{spec})}\rangle^3\,, \tag{6.23}$$

as it should be. Therefore, after integrating out all quarks as heavy ones and then all $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ gluons at $\mu = \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\mathrm{spec})}\rangle$ via the VY procedure [11], the Lagrangian looks as, see (6.12) for $\mathcal{W}_M$,

$$K = \mathrm{Tr}\,\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2}\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{matter}} = \mathcal{W}_M + \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{non-pert}}\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{non-pert}} = -\overline{N}_c\Big(\Lambda_Q^{3\overline{N}_c-N_F}\det\frac{M}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c}\,. \tag{6.24}$$

From (6.24), the masses of N_c^2 mions M_2^2 and $\overline{N}_c^2$ mions M_1^1 are (up to logarithmic factors), see (6.1),

$$\mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \ll \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_1^1) \sim \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}\frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{m_Q}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-N_F}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\mathrm{spec})}\rangle,\ \widehat{\mu}_\Phi \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \tag{6.25}$$

(the main contribution to $\mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_2^2)$ in (6.24) originates from $\mathcal{W}_M$, while $\mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_1^1)$ is dominated by the contribution from $\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{non-pert}}$).

$2\overline{N}_c N_c$ hybrid mions M_2^1, M_1^2 are the Numbu-Goldstone particles and are massless.

The hierarchies of nonzero masses look in this region $\widehat{\mu}_\Phi = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-2N_F}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}}$ as

$$\mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_2^2) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \ll \mu^{\,\mathrm{pole}}(M_1^1) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{m_Q}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-N_F}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(\mathrm{spec})}\rangle \ll \tag{6.26}$$

$$\ll \mu_{q,1}^{\mathrm{pole}} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{(2N_c-N_F)}} \ll \Lambda_Q\,.$$

The region $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$

7 Unbroken flavor symmetry, QCD vacua

7.1 Direct theory.

The direct theory is in the strong coupling regime at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$. The quark condensates look here as, see Appendix,

$$\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm QCD} \simeq \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c}, \quad \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm QCD} \simeq \Big(\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o} m_Q^{N_F}\Big)^{1/N_c}, \quad {\rm b_o} = 3N_c - N_F\,. \tag{7.1}$$

The potentially important masses look here as

$$\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm QCD} = \langle m_Q - \Phi\rangle_{\rm QCD} = \frac{\langle S\rangle_{\rm QCD}}{\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm QCD}} \simeq m_Q\,,$$

$$m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole} = \frac{\langle m_Q^{\rm tot}\rangle_{\rm QCD}}{z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole})} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c},\ z_Q(\Lambda_Q, m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q=(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c}, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}^2 \sim a_+(\mu=\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}) z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{\rm gl,QCD})\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm QCD} \quad \to \quad \mu_{\rm gl,QCD} \sim \frac{\langle \overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_Q} \sim m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}\,, \tag{7.3}$$

$$a_+(\mu=\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}) \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}}\Big)^{\nu_Q=(3N_c-2N_F)/\overline{N}_c}, \quad a_+(\mu=\mu_{\rm gl,QCD})\, z_Q(\Lambda_Q,\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}) \sim \frac{\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}}{\Lambda_Q}\,.$$

As before for vacua with the unbroken flavor symmetry, this implies at $N_F > N_c$ that $m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole} =$ (several)$\mu_{\rm gl,QCD}$, the quarks are not higgsed but confined and **the overall phase is HQ** (heavy quark).

Besides, see (5.4) for $\mu_o^{\rm str}$ and (7.2),

$$\frac{m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_o^{\rm str}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\hat\mu_{\Phi,{\rm QCD}}}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}, \quad \hat\mu_{\Phi,{\rm QCD}} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F}{N_c}} \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}\,, \quad \frac{1}{2} < \frac{5N_c-3N_F}{N_c} < 2\,. \tag{7.4}$$

It follows from (7.4) that : a) $m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole} < \mu_o^{\rm str}$ in the range $\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}} < \mu_\Phi < \hat\mu_{\Phi,{\rm QCD}}$ and so there will be two additional generations of Φ-particles, $\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_o^{\rm str}$ and $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}$, and all N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j will be dynamically relevant at scales $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi) < \mu < \mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi)$; b) while $m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole} > \mu_o^{\rm str}$ at $\mu_\Phi > \hat\mu_{\Phi,{\rm QCD}}$ and all fions will be too heavy and dynamically irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi$.

Proceeding further in a "standard" way, i.e. integrating out first all quarks as heavy ones at $\mu < m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}$, the scale factor of the lower energy $SU(N_c)$ SYM in the strong coupling regime is determined from the matching

$$a_+(\mu = m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{(3N_c-2N_F)/\overline{N}_c} = a_{\rm YM}^{\rm str}(\mu = m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{m_{Q,{\rm QCD}}^{\rm pole}}{\langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)}\rangle}\Big)^3 \quad \to$$

$$\to \quad \langle \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)}\rangle = \Big(\Lambda_Q^{3N_c-N_F} m_Q^{N_F}\Big)^{1/3N_c} \tag{7.5}$$

as it should be, see (7.1).

Integrating out now all $SU(N_c)$ gluons at $\mu < \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)}$ via the VY-procedure [11], we obtain the low energy Lagrangian of N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j

$$K = z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD})\,{\rm Tr}\,(\Phi^\dagger\Phi)\,, \quad z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD}) = \Big(\frac{m_Q^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_\Phi} = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c} \gg 1\,, \quad (7.6)$$

$$\mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi + N_c\Big(\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}\det m_Q^{\rm tot}\Big)^{1/N_c}, \quad m_Q^{\rm tot} = m_Q - \Phi\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_\Phi = \frac{\mu_\Phi}{2}\left[{\rm Tr}\,(\Phi^2) - \frac{1}{\overline{N}_c}\Big({\rm Tr}\,\Phi\Big)^2\right].$$

The main contribution to the masses of N_F^2 3-rd generation fions at $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o} < \mu_\Phi < \hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD}$ originates from the term $\mathcal{W}_\Phi$ in (7.6)

$$\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi}{z_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD})} \sim \mu_\Phi\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}, \quad (7.7)$$

$$\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi)}{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD}} \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F}{3N_c}} = \frac{\mu_\Phi}{\hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD}} < 1\,.$$

On the whole for the mass spectra in these N_c QCD-vacua at $\mu_\Phi > \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$. **The overall phase is HQ**, all quarks $\overline{Q}_j, Q^i$ are not higgsed but confined.

A) The range $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c} < \mu_\Phi < \hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(5N_c-3N_F)/N_c}$.
1) The largest among masses $< \Lambda_Q$ are masses of N_F^2 second generation fions Φ_i^j with $\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_o^{\rm str} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$.
2) The next are masses $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD} \sim \Lambda_Q(m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c}$ of strongly interacting but weakly confined quarks (the tension of the confining string originating from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM is $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)} \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD}$).
3) There are N_F^2 third generation fions Φ_i^j with $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi(m_Q/\Lambda_Q)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$.
4) A large number of gluonia from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(N_c)$ SYM, the scale of their masses is $\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)} \sim (\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o} m_Q^{N_F})^{1/3N_c}$.

The mass hierarchies look as

$$\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD} \ll \mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi) = \mu_o^{\rm str} \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi\,, \quad \Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)} \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD}\,, \quad (7.8)$$

$$\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi)}{\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm QCD)}} = \begin{cases} <1 & \text{at} \quad \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} < \mu_\Phi < \tilde\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(12N_c-7N_F)/3N_c} \\ >1 & \text{at} \quad \tilde\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD} < \mu_\Phi < \hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD}\,. \end{cases}$$

B) The range $\mu_\Phi > \hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(5N_c-3N_F)/N_c} \gg \tilde\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD} \gg \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}\,.$
The difference is that there are no additional generations of all fions in this case because $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD} > \mu_o^{\rm str}$, and all fions remain too heavy and dynamically irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi$.

7.2 Dual theory.

The dual theory is in the IR free logarithmic regime at scales $\mu_{q,QCD}^{\rm pole} < \mu < \Lambda_Q$ (as before, all logarithmic factors are ignored for simplicity). The potentially important masses look here as follows. The masses of dual quarks

$$\mu_{q,QCD}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle M\rangle_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_Q} = \frac{\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm QCD}}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}} \sim m^{\rm pole}_{Q,\rm QCD}. \quad (7.9)$$

The masses of dual gluons due to possible higgsing of dual quarks

$$\overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{\rm gl,QCD}\sim\langle(\overline{q}q)\rangle^{1/2}_{\rm QCD}\sim(m_Q\Lambda_Q)^{1/2},\quad \frac{\overline{\mu}^{\rm pole}_{\rm gl,QCD}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{q,QCD}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{2N_c}}\ll 1\,. \tag{7.10}$$

Therefore, **the overall phase is Hq** (heavy quark), all quarks $\overline{q}^j, q_i$ are not higgsed but confined. All N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j have large masses $\mu_1^{\rm pole}(\Phi)\sim\mu_\Phi\gg\Lambda_Q$ and are irrelevant at scales $\mu<\mu_\Phi$, they can be integrated out from the beginning. After integrating out all dual quarks as heavy ones at $\mu<\mu^{\rm pole}_{q,QCD}$ and then all dual gluons at $\mu<\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(QCD)}\rangle$ via the VY procedure [11], the low energy Lagrangian of N_F^2 mions M_j^i looks as

$$K\sim{\rm Tr}\,\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2},\ \mathcal{W}=\mathcal{W}_M-\overline{N}_c\Big(\frac{\det M}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c},\ \mathcal{W}_M=m_Q{\rm Tr}\,M-\frac{1}{2\mu_\Phi}\Big[{\rm Tr}\,(M^2)-\frac{1}{N_c}\Big({\rm Tr}\,M\Big)^2\Big]. \tag{7.11}$$

The main contribution to the masses of N_F^2 mions originates from the nonperturbative term in (7.11)

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M)\sim\frac{\langle S\rangle_{\rm QCD}\Lambda_Q^2}{\langle M\rangle^2_{\rm QCD}}\sim\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c},\quad \frac{\mu^{\rm pole}(M)}{\Lambda_{YM}^{(QCD)}}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(3N_c-2N_F)}{3N_c}}\ll 1\,. \tag{7.12}$$

Comparing with the direct theory in section 7.1 it is seen that at $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}<\mu_\Phi<\hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD}$ the masses $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi)$ (7.7) and $\mu^{\rm pole}(M)$ (7.12) are parametrically different (the triangles $SU^3(N_F)_L$ are also different). Besides, there are no particles with masses smaller than $\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(QCD)}\rangle$ at $\mu_\Phi>\hat\mu_{\Phi,\rm QCD}$ in the direct theory while $\mu^{\rm pole}(M)\ll\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(QCD)}\rangle$ in the dual one, see (7.12).

8 Broken flavor symmetry. Direct theory.

8.1 br1 and special vacua with $1\le n_1<{\rm Min}\,(N_F/2,\,3N_c-2N_F)$

The condensates look in this case as, see Appendix,

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1}\simeq\frac{N_c}{N_c-{\rm n}_1}m_Q\mu_\Phi\,,\quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-N_c}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}, \tag{8.1}$$

$$\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}\rangle^3\equiv\langle S\rangle_{\rm br1}=\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1}\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\mu_\Phi}\sim\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}_,\quad \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}\sim\Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{N_c-n_1}}\ll 1\,,$$

The potentially important masses look as, see Appendix:

a) the quark masses,

$$\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,1}\rangle\ll\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}\rangle=\langle m_Q-\Phi_2\rangle=\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle}{\mu_\Phi}\sim m_Q,\quad \tilde m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}=\frac{\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}\rangle}{z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}\rangle)}\sim\Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\overline{N}_c/N_c}; \tag{8.2}$$

b) the gluon masses due to possible higgsing of quarks,

$$(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})^2\sim a_+(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\,z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\,\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle,\quad a_+(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})=\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}\Big)^{\nu_+},\ z_Q^+(\Lambda_Q,\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})=\Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma_Q^+},$$

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}\sim\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle}{\Lambda_Q}\sim\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q},\quad \frac{\tilde m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}\sim\frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\mu_\Phi}\ll 1,\qquad \gamma_Q^+=\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_F-N_c},\quad \nu^+=\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_F-N_c}\,. \tag{8.3}$$

Therefore, the quarks $Q^1, \overline{Q}_1$ are higgsed and **the overall phase is Higgs$_1$ – HQ$_2$** in this case. Besides,

$$\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\mu^{\rm str}_o} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,1}}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-3N_F}} > 1 \ \text{ only at } \ \mu_\Phi > \mu_{\Phi,1} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F}{2(2N_c-N_F)}}, \tag{8.4}$$

$$\mu^{\rm str}_o = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2\gamma^+_Q-1}} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{5N_c-3N_F}}, \quad \frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\mu_{\Phi,1}} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c(3N_c-2N_F)}{2N_c(2N_c-N_F)}} \ll 1, \quad \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_c}},$$

this shows that the fions Φ^1_1, Φ^2_1 and Φ^1_2 become too heavy and dynamically irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_1(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ when $\mu_\Phi > \mu_{\Phi,1}$ only (the situation with Φ^2_2 is different, see below), while at $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o} < \mu_\Phi < \mu_{\Phi,1}$ all N^2_F fions become relevant at the scale $\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_2(\Phi) = \mu^{\rm str}_o = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$ and there appears additional second generation of all N^2_F Φ-particles.

A) The region $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,1} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F}{2(2N_c-N_F)}}$.

Because quarks $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ are higgsed at $\mu \sim \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}$, see (8.3), the lower energy theory at $\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}$ has the unbroken gauge group $SU(N_c - n_1)$, n_2 flavors of quarks $\overline{Q}'_2, Q'^{,2}$ with unbroken colors, N^2_F fions Φ^j_i, $\rm n^2_1$ pions Π^1_1 (these originated from higgsing of $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$), and finally $2\rm n_1 n_2$ hybrid pions Π^1_2, Π^2_1 (these in essence are quarks $\overline{Q}_2, Q^2$ with broken colors).

In case $1 \le n_1 < \rm Min(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$, i.e. either any $1 \le n_1 < N_F/2$ at $N_c + 1 < N_F < 6N_c/5$, or $n_1 < (3N_c - 2N_F)$ at $6N_c/5 < N_F < 3N_c/2$, the lower energy theory at $\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}$ remains in the strong coupling region with: $N'_c = (N_c - n_1)$, $N'_F = n_2$, $1 < N'_F/N'_c < 3/2$, $\rm b'_o = (3N'_c - N'_F) = (3N_c - N_F - 2n_1) > 0$.

The anomalous dimensions and the gauge coupling look in this lower energy theory as

$$\gamma^-_Q = \frac{2N'_c - N'_F}{N'_F - N'_c} = \frac{2N_c - N_F - n_1}{(N_F - N_c) = \overline{N}_c} = \gamma^+_Q - \frac{n_1}{\overline{N}_c} > 1\,, \quad \gamma^\pm_\Phi = -2\gamma^\pm_Q\,, \tag{8.5}$$

$$a_-(\mu < \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}) = \Big[a_+(\mu = \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}\Big)^{\nu_+}\Big]\Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\mu}\Big)^{\nu_-}, \quad \nu_+ = \frac{3N_c - 2N_F}{\overline{N}_c}, \quad \nu_- = \nu_+ - \frac{n_1}{\overline{N}_c} > 0\,.$$

The pole mass of $\overline{Q}'_2, Q'^{,2}$ quarks and $\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2}$ due to possible higgsing of these quarks look then as, see (8.1),(8.3),

$$m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} = \frac{\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}\rangle}{z^+_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\, z^-_Q(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2})}\,, \ z^+_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}) = \Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\gamma^+_Q}, \ z^-_Q(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}) = \Big(\frac{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}\Big)^{\gamma^-_Q},$$

$$m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} \sim \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c-n_1}{N_c-n_1}} \sim \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q}\,, \qquad \frac{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1\,, \tag{8.6}$$

$$(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2})^2 \sim \rho_+\rho_-\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle, \ \rho_+ = a_+(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\, z^+_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}) \sim \frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\Lambda_Q}, \ \rho_- \sim \Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2}}\Big)^{\nu^-} z^-_Q(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2}) = \frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2}}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}},$$

$$\rho_+\rho_- \sim \frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2}}{\Lambda_Q}\,, \quad \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,2} \sim \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle}{\Lambda_Q} \sim m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}\,.$$

Because $\rm n_2 - (N'_c = N_c - n_1) = N_F - N_c > 0$, the rank condition implies that $m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2} = (\text{several})\mu^{\rm pole}_{\rm gl,2}$, and $\overline{Q}'_2, Q'^{,2}$ quarks are not higgsed because otherwise the global $U(\rm n_2)$ flavor symmetry will be *additionally* broken spontaneously.

Therefore, after integrating out remained active quarks $\overline{Q}'_2, Q'^2$ with unbroken $N_c - \mathrm{n}_1$ colors as heavy ones at $\mu < m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}$, the scale factor of the lower energy $SU(N_c)$ SYM in the strong coupling regime is determined from the matching, see (8.3),(8.5),(8.6),

$$a_-(\mu = m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}\Big)^{\nu_+}\Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}}{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}}\Big)^{\nu_-} = a^{(\rm br1)}_{\rm YM}(\mu = m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}) = \Big(\frac{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}}{\langle\Lambda^{(\rm br1)}_{YM}\rangle}\Big)^3 \gg 1\,, \tag{8.7}$$

and is

$$\Big(\langle\Lambda^{(\rm br1)}_{YM}\rangle\Big)^3 = \Lambda^3_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}} = \langle S\rangle_{\rm br1} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1}\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \tag{8.8}$$

as it should be, see (8.1),

$$\frac{\langle\Lambda^{(\rm br1)}_{YM}\rangle}{m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}} = \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{3(N_c-n_1)}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{3(N_c-n_1)}} \ll \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F-n_1}{3(N_c-n_1)}} \ll 1 \quad \text{at} \quad \mu_\Phi \ll \frac{\Lambda^2_Q}{m_Q}\,. \tag{8.9}$$

Integrating out finally all $SU(N_c - n_1)$ gluons at $\mu < \langle\Lambda^{(\rm br1)}_{YM}\rangle \ll m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2}$ via the VY-procedure [11], the lower energy Lagrangian of N^2_F 3-rd generation fions Φ^j_i, n^2_1 pions Π^i_j and $2n_1n_2$ hybrid pions Π^1_2, Π^2_1 (in essence, these are $\overline{Q}_2, Q^2$ quarks with broken colors) look as, see section 6.1 for the form of K_Π,

$$K_{\rm tot} = K_\Phi + K_\Pi + K_{\rm hybrid}\,, \tag{8.10}$$

$$K_\Phi = z^+_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\,{\rm Tr}\,\Big[(\Phi^1_1)^\dagger\Phi^1_1 + (\Phi^2_1)^\dagger\Phi^2_1 + (\Phi^1_2)^\dagger\Phi^1_2 + z^-_\Phi(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2})\,(\Phi^2_2)^\dagger\Phi^2_2\Big]\,,$$

$$K_\Pi \simeq K^{(\rm loop)}_\Pi = {\rm Tr}\,\frac{(\Pi^1_1)^\dagger\Pi^1_1}{\Lambda^2_Q}\,, \quad K_{\rm hybrid} = z^+_Q(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})\,{\rm Tr}\,\Big(\,\frac{(\Pi^2_1)^\dagger\Pi^2_1 + (\Pi^1_2)^\dagger\Pi^1_2}{|\langle\Pi_1\rangle| = |\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle|}\,\Big)\,,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\rm tot} = \mathcal{W}_\Phi + \mathcal{W}_{\Phi\Pi} + \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}\,, \tag{8.11}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_\Phi = \frac{\mu_\Phi}{2}\Big[\,{\rm Tr}\,(\Phi^2) - \frac{1}{\overline{N}_c}\Big({\rm Tr}\,\Phi\Big)^2\Big]\,, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert} = (\overline{N}_c - n_1)\Big(\frac{\Lambda^{\rm b_o}_Q\,\det m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}}{\det\Pi^1_1}\Big)^{\frac{1}{N_c-n_1}}\,,$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\Phi\Pi} = m_Q\,{\rm Tr}\,\Big[\Pi^1_1 + \Pi^2_1\frac{1}{\Pi^1_1}\Pi^1_2\Big] - {\rm Tr}\,\Big[\,\Pi^1_1\Phi^1_1 + \Pi^2_1\Phi^1_2 + \Pi^1_2\Phi^2_1 + \Phi^2_2\,(\Pi^2_1\frac{1}{\Pi^1_1}\Pi^1_2)\,\Big]\,.$$

We obtain from (8.10),(8.11) for the particle masses. -

The masses of the 3-rd generation fions $\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_1) \sim \mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_2)$ look as

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1) = \frac{\mu_\Phi}{z^+_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{(3N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c}\,, \tag{8.12}$$

$$\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^1_1)}{\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,1}}\Big)^{2(2N_c-N_F)/\overline{N}_c} \ll 1\,,$$

while for $\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2)$,

$$\mu^{\rm pole}_3(\Phi^2_2) \sim \frac{\mu_\Phi}{z^+_\Phi(\Lambda_Q, \mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1})z^-_\Phi(\mu^{\rm pole}_{gl,1}, m^{\rm pole}_{Q,2})} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c+n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\,, \tag{8.13}$$

$$\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)}{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_1^1)} = \frac{1}{z_\Phi^-(\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}, m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})} \sim \Big(\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole})}{\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{2\gamma_Q^-} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c}{N_c-n_1}(\gamma_Q^->1)} \ll 1\,,$$

$$\frac{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)}{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,2}}\Big)^{\frac{N_c+2n_1}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1 \quad {\rm at} \quad \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,2}, \quad \mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_{\Phi,2} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F+n_1}{N_c+2n_1}}$$

(the main contribution to $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$ in (8.13) originates from the term $\sim \mu_\Phi {\rm Tr}\,(\Phi_2^2)^2$ in (8.11), while the contribution from $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ is much smaller).

The smallest nonzero masses have n_1^2 pions Π_1^1,

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(\Pi_1^1) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \qquad \frac{\mu^{\rm pole}(\Pi_1^1)}{\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1 \tag{8.14}$$

(the main contribution to $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Pi_1^1)$ in (8.14) originates from the mixing term $\sim {\rm Tr}\,(\Pi_1^1\Phi_1^1)$ in (8.11), after integrating out heavier Φ_1^1, while the contribution to $\mu^{\rm pole}(\Pi_1^1)$ from $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ is much smaller).

Finally, $2n_1n_2$ multiplets Π_1^2 and Π_2^1 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless.

B) The region $\mu_{\Phi,1} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\frac{5N_c-3N_F}{2(2N_c-N_F)}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$.

Because $\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole} > \mu_o^{\rm str} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$ in this case, the running mass $\mu_\Phi(\mu)$ of all N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j remains too large at the scale $\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$, $\mu_\Phi(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}) > \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$, so that they are still irrelevant at this scale. Because all heavy higgsed quarks $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ decouple at $\mu < \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$, the RG evolution of fions Φ_1^1, Φ_1^2 and Φ_2^1 is frozen at scales $\mu < \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$, so that they remain irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\rm pole)}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$, there are no additional generations of these particles.

But as for Φ_2^2, the situation is different because the quarks $\overline{Q}_2', Q^{\prime,2}$ with unbroken colors are still active at $\mu < \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$ and the running mass of fions Φ_2^2 continues to decrease with decreasing scale (until $\overline{Q}_2', Q^{\prime,2}$ decouple at $\mu < m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}$). As a result, there still will be two additional generations of n_2^2 Φ_2^2-particles in the range $\mu_{\Phi,1} < \mu_\Phi < \mu_{\Phi,2} < \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, see (8.13),

$$\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2) = \hat{\mu}_o^{\rm str} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\hat{\mu}_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{1}{2\gamma_Q^- - 1}} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{5N_c-3N_F-2n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c+2n_1}{5N_c-3N_F-2n_1}}\,, \tag{8.15}$$

$$\hat{\mu}_\Phi = \mu_\Phi\Big(\frac{\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{2(\gamma_Q^+-\gamma_Q^-)} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{\overline{N}_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c+2n_1}{\overline{N}_c}}, \quad \frac{\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)}{\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}} = \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,1}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{2(2N_c-N_F)}{5N_c-3N_F-2n_1}>0} \ll 1\,.$$

$$\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,2}}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c(N_c+2n_1)}{(N_c-n_1)(5N_c-3N_F-2n_1)}>0}, \qquad \frac{\mu_{\Phi,1}}{\mu_{\Phi,2}} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{(3N_c-2N_F)(5N_c-3N_F-2n_1)}{2(2N_c-N_F)(N_c+2n_1)}>0} \ll 1\,. \tag{8.16}$$

As it is seen from (8.16), all n_2^2 fions Φ_2^2 remain dynamically irrelevant only at $\mu_\Phi > \mu_{\Phi,2} \gg \mu_{\Phi,1} \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$, because the quarks $\overline{Q}_2', Q^{\prime,2}$ with unbroken colors decouple in this case before there appears the second generation of Φ_2^2, while in the range $\mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,2}$ there appear two additional generations of n_2^2 Φ_2^2-particles, and fions Φ_2^2 become dynamically relevant in the interval of scales $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2) < \mu < \mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$, see (8.13),(8.15).

But to keep $\mu_{\Phi,2} < \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$ (the RG flow becomes different at $\mu_\Phi > \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$ because $\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim (m_Q\mu_\Phi)/\Lambda_Q$ becomes larger than Λ_Q and the quarks $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ will be higgsed in the weak coupling regime) it should be: $8N_c/7 < N_F < 3N_c/2$. Therefore, at $N_c < N_F < 8N_c/7$ the n_2^2 fions Φ_2^2 are relevant in the range of scales $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2) < \mu < \mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$ in the whole interval $\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}} < \mu_\Phi < \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$ and there are two additional generations, $\mu_2^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$ and $\mu_3^{\rm pole}(\Phi_2^2)$, see (8.4),(8.13),(8.15). But at $8N_c/7 < N_F < 3N_c/2$

these two additional generations of particles Φ_2^2 exist only in the range $\mu_{\Phi,1} < \mu_\Phi < \mu_{\Phi,2}$ and disappear at $\mu_{\Phi,2} < \mu_\Phi < \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, so that there are no additional generations of any Φ-particles at all at $\mu_\Phi > \mu_{\Phi,2}$.

All formulas in special vacua with $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $\mathrm{n}_2 = N_c$ can be obtained simply substituting $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$ in all expressions in this section 8.1.

8.2 br1 and special vacua with $3N_c - 2N_F < n_1 < N_F/2$

Consider now the case $n_1 > 3N_c - 2N_F$ (this requires $6N_c/5 < N_F < 3N_c/2$ since $n_1 < N_F/2$). In this case $3/2 < N'_F/N'_c < 3$, $N'_F = N_F - \mathrm{n}_1 = \mathrm{n}_2$, $N'_c = N_c - n_1$. This means that, after the quarks $\overline{Q}_1, Q^1$ are higgsed at $\mu \sim \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q \ll \Lambda_Q$ in the strong coupling regime $a_+(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}) \gg 1$, the theory remains with the same large coupling $a_-(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}) = a_+(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole})$, but the numbers of colors $N'_c = N_c - n_1$ and flavors $N'_F = N_F - n_1$ in the lower energy theory are now such that the coupling $a_-(\mu)$ begins *to decrease* with diminishing scale because the β-function becomes *positive* at $\mu < \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}$ (until the gauge coupling is large, $a_-(\mu) \gg 1$. The quark anomalous dimension looks now as $\gamma_Q^-(N'_c, N'_F, a(\mu) \gg 1) = (2N'_c - N'_F)/(N'_F - N'_c)$, $\gamma_\Phi^- = -2\gamma_Q^-$,

$$\frac{da_-(\mu)}{d\ln\mu} = \frac{a_-^2(\mu)[\,3 - \frac{N'_F}{N'_c}(1+\gamma_Q^-)]}{a_-(\mu) - 1} \simeq a_-(\mu)\Big[\frac{\mathrm{n}_1 - (3N_c - 2N_F)}{\overline{N}_c}\Big] > 0,\ \gamma_Q^- = \frac{2N_c - N_F - \mathrm{n}_1}{\overline{N}_c}\,. \quad (8.17)$$

The scale factor Λ'_Q of the gauge coupling $a_-(\mu)$ is determined from the matching

$$a_+(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_F-N_c}} = a_-(\mu = \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda'_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_1-(3N_c-2N_F)}{N_F-N_c}} \gg 1\,,$$

$$\Lambda'_Q = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{n_1-(3N_c-2N_F)}>1} \ll \mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \quad (8.18)$$

Therefore (if nothing prevents, see below), the theory will finally enter smoothly at the scale $\mu < \Lambda'_Q$ into the conformal regime with the frozen gauge coupling $a_* \sim 1$. But, if $m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole} \gg \Lambda'_Q$, see (8.6), the quarks $\overline{Q}'_2, Q'^2$ with unbroken colors will decouple still in the strong coupling regime $a_-(\mu = m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}) \gg 1$ and the lower energy gauge theory will be $\mathcal{N} = 1$ $SU(N_c - n_1)$ SYM, with the scale factor of its gauge coupling determined as previously from the matching

$$a_-(\mu = m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda'_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_1-(3N_c-2N_F)}{N_F-N_c}>0} = a_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}(\mu = m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}) = \Big(\frac{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}}{\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}}\Big)^3 \quad \to$$

$$\to \quad \Big(\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}\rangle\Big)^3 = \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}} = \langle S\rangle_{\rm br1}. \quad (8.19)$$

as it should be, see (8.1),(8.8).

Because our main purpose in this article is to calculate the mass spectra in the direct theory in cases with quarks in the strong coupling regime $a(\mu) \gg 1$, we consider below this case $m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole} \gg \Lambda'_Q$ only. This requires then, see (8.6),(8.18)

$$\frac{\Lambda'_Q}{m_{Q,2}^{\rm pole}} \ll 1 \quad \to \quad \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{2n_1}<1} < \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{m_Q}\,. \quad (8.20)$$

We distinguish then three regions. -

I) $(3N_c - 2N_F) < n_1 < \mathrm{Min}[\,(5N_c - 3N_F)/2,\, N_F/2\,]$ (at $N_F > 6N_c/5$). Here the hierarchies look as: $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, see (8.4),(8.13),(8.20), while $1/2 < \gamma_Q^- < 1$.
a) The region $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,1}$. There are two complete additional generations of all N_F^2 Φ-particles, $\Phi_1^1, \Phi_1^2, \Phi_2^1$ are relevant in the range of scales $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_1^1) < \mu < \mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_1^1)$, while Φ_2^2 is relevant at $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) < \mu < \mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2)$, $\mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) = \mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_1^1) = \mu_o^{\mathrm{str}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$, see (8.4),(8.12),(8.13).
b) The region $\mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,2}$. $\Phi_1^1, \Phi_1^2, \Phi_2^1$ are irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi$. As for Φ_2^2, there still will be two additional generations of Φ_2^2-particles with masses $m_{Q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}} < \mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) < \mu_{gl,1}^{\mathrm{pole}}$, see (8.15),(8.16), and $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) < m_{Q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}}$, see (8.13).
c) The region $\mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3}$. In this case all all N_F^2 Φ-particles are irrelevant at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) \sim \mu_\Phi$.

II) $(5N_c - 3N_F)/2 < n_1 < \mathrm{Min}[\,(2N_c - N_F),\, N_F/2\,]$ (at $N_F > 5N_c/4$). Here the hierarchies look as: $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, while $0 < \gamma_Q^- < 1/2$.
a) The region $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,2}$. There will be 2-nd and 3-rd generations of all N_F^2 Φ_i^j-particles with $\mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) = \mu_o^{\mathrm{str}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$ and $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_1^1)$ in (8.12), $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) < m_{Q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}}$ in (8.13).
b) The region $\mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,1}$. The difference with "**a**" is that now there is no third generation of fions $\mu_3(\Phi_2^2)$.
c) The region $\mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3}$. All fions are too heavy and irrelevant in this case at all scales $\mu < \mu_1^{\mathrm{pole}} \sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$.

III) $(2N_c - N_F) < n_1 < N_F/2$ (at $N_F > 4N_c/3$). Here the hierarchies look as: $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} \ll \mu_{\Phi,1} \ll \Lambda_Q^2/m_Q$, while $(-1/4) < \gamma_Q^- < 0$. Because we consider only the region $\mu_\Phi < \mu_{\Phi,3}$ and $\mu_{\Phi,3} < \mu_{\Phi,1}$ now, there is the 2-nd generation of all N_F^2 fions with $\mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) = \mu_o^{\mathrm{str}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)} > \mu_{gl,1}^{\mathrm{pole}}$.
a) The region $\mu_{\Phi,\mathrm{o}} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,2}$. There will be 2-nd and 3-rd generations of all N_F^2 Φ_i^j-particles with $\mu_2^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) = \mu_o^{\mathrm{str}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{\overline{N}_c/(5N_c-3N_F)}$ and $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_1^1)$ in (8.12), $\mu_3^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi_2^2) < m_{Q,2}^{\mathrm{pole}}$ in (8.13).
b) The region $\mu_{\Phi,2} \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3}$. The difference with "**a**" is that now there is no third generation of fions $\mu_3(\Phi_2^2)$.

All formulas in special vacua with $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $\mathrm{n}_2 = N_c$ can be obtained simply substituting $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$ in all expressions in this section 8.2.

8.3 br2-vacua

In these br2 vacua with $N_F/2 < \mathrm{n}_2 < N_c$, all expressions for condensates and corresponding masses in (8.1)-(8.4) are obtained replacing $\mathrm{n}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{n}_2$. Because we are interested in this article in that the direct theory stays in the strong coupling regime $a(\mu < \Lambda_Q) \gtrsim 1$, all other formulae for br2-vacua can also be obtained from those for br1-vacua by $\mathrm{n}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{n}_2$, under corresponding restrictions.

9 Broken flavor symmetry. Dual theory.

9.1 br1 and special vacua with $1 \le n_1 < \mathrm{Min}\,(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$

This dual theory is mostly in the IR free logarithmic regime in this case and all logarithmic effects of the RG evolution will be ignored below for simplicity, as before. All N_F^2 fions Φ_i^j have very large masses $\sim \mu_\Phi \gg \Lambda_Q$ and can be integrated out from the beginning. The potentially most important masses of dual quarks and gluons look here as follows, see Appendix,

$$\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br1} = \langle (\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q} \gg \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim \frac{\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\Lambda_Q} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}, \qquad (9.1)$$

$$\langle \Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm br1} = \frac{\langle M_1\rangle\langle M_2\rangle}{\mu_\Phi} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}},$$

while, see (9.1),

$$(\overline{\mu}_{gl,2}^{\rm pole})^2 \sim \langle(\overline{q}q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1} = \frac{\langle S\rangle_{\rm br1}\Lambda_Q}{\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br1}} = \frac{\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br1}\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi} \sim m_Q\Lambda_Q \gg (\mu_{gl,1}^{\rm pole})^2, \qquad (9.2)$$

$$\Big(\frac{\overline{\mu}_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^2 \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1, \qquad (9.3)$$

$$\Big(\frac{\overline{\mu}_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^2 \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^3}{m_Q\mu_\Phi^2} < \frac{\Lambda_Q^3}{m_Q\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}^2} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F}{N_c}} \ll 1,$$

$$\Big(\frac{\langle\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}\rangle}{\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^3 \sim \Big(\frac{\overline{\mu}_{gl,2}^{\rm pole}}{\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}}\Big)^2 \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{N_c-n_1}} < \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F-n_1}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1.$$

Therefore, **the overall phase is $\mathbf{Hq_1 - Hq_2}$**, all dual quarks are not higgsed but confined, the confinement originates from the unbroken $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ $\mathcal{N}=1$ SYM and so the scale of the confining string tension is $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)} = \langle S\rangle_{\rm br1}^{1/3}$, see (9.1).

At scales $\mu < \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$ all $\overline{q}^1, q_1$ quarks can be integrated out as heavy ones and there remain $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ gauge theory with n_2 flavors of quarks $\overline{q}^2, q_2$ (and with $\overline{\rm b}_{\rm o}' = 2N_F - 3N_c + n_1 \,<0\,$) and N_F^2 mions M_j^i. Integrating out then these quarks as heavy ones at $\mu < \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$ and then all $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM-gluons at $\mu < \Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}$ via the VY-procedure, the lower energy Lagrangian of mions looks as

$$K \sim \mathrm{Tr}\,\frac{M^\dagger M}{\Lambda_Q^2}, \qquad \mathcal{W} = \mathcal{W}_M + \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}, \quad \mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert} = -\overline{N}_c\Big(\frac{\det M}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c}, \qquad (9.4)$$

$$\mathcal{W}_M = -\frac{1}{2\mu_\Phi}\Big[\mathrm{Tr}\,(M^2) - \frac{1}{N_c}\Big(\mathrm{Tr}\,M\Big)^2\Big].$$

From (9.4), the masses of mions M_j^i look as follows:
1) n_2^2 mions M_2^2 have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \sim \frac{\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}\frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}, \qquad (9.5)$$

$$\Big(\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)}{\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}}\Big)^{3/2} \sim \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2n_1}{N_c-n_1}} < \Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F-n_1}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1,$$

the main contribution to $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)$ originates from $\mathcal{W}_{\rm non-pert}$ in (9.4).

2) n_1^2 mions M_1^1 have masses

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \sim \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{\mu_\Phi} \sim \frac{\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br1}}\,\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{N_c-n_1}}\,\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)\,, \tag{9.6}$$

the main contribution to $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1)$ originates from $\mathcal{W}_M$ in (9.4).

3) $2n_1n_2$ mions M_1^2, M_2^1 are the Nambu-Goldstone particles and are massless

$$\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^2) = \mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^1) = 0\,. \tag{9.7}$$

On the whole, the mass spectrum looks in this case as follows. -

1) There is a large number of hadrons made from weakly interacting non-relativistic dual quarks $\overline{q}^1, q_1$ with masses $\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi/\Lambda_Q$ (9.1), and similarly for hybrids made from $(\overline{q}^{\,1}, q_2)$ or $(\overline{q}^{\,2}, q_1)$.

2) A large number of hadrons made from weakly interacting non-relativistic quarks $\overline{q}^2, q_2$ with masses $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \sim (\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}/\mu_\Phi)^{N_c/(N_c-n_1)}\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$, see (9.1). All quarks are weakly confined, i.e. the tension of the confining string originating from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM is $\sqrt{\sigma} \sim \Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)} \ll \mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} \ll \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$.

3) A large number of gluonia from $\mathcal{N}=1$ $SU(\overline{N}_c)$ SYM, the scale of their masses is $\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)} \sim (\langle M_1\rangle_{\rm br1}\langle M_2\rangle_{\rm br1}/\mu_\Phi)^{1/3}$, see Appendix and (9.1).

4) n_2^2 mions M_2^2 with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2) \ll \Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}$, see (9.5).

5) n_1^2 mions M_1^1 with masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)$, see (9.6).

6) $2n_1n_2$ mions M_1^2, M_2^1 are massless.

It is worth noting also that the scales $\mu_{\Phi,1}$ and $\mu_{\Phi,2}$, $\mu_{\Phi,2} \gg \mu_{\Phi,1} \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$, see (8.4),(8.13),(8.20) specific for the direct theory play no role in this dual theory at $1 \le n_1 < {\rm Min}\,(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$.

All formulas in special vacua with $\rm n_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $\rm n_2 = N_c$ can be obtained simply substituting $\rm n_1 = \overline{N}_c$ in all expressions in this section 9.1.

9.2 br1 and special vacua with $3N_c - 2N_F < n_1 < N_F/2$

The masses of dual quarks $\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$ and $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}$ are the same (with a logarithmic accuracy), see (9.1). The difference is that the dual coupling $\overline{a}(\mu)$ still decreased logarithmically with diminished scale at $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} < \mu < \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$ at $1 \le n_1 < {\rm Min}\,(N_F/2,\, 3N_c - 2N_F)$, while now $\overline{\rm b}_{\rm o}' = 3\overline{N}_c - n_2 = n_1 - (3N_c - 2N_F) > 0$ and $\overline{a}(\mu)$ increases logarithmically with diminishing scale at $\mu < \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$ until the dual theory enters the conformal regime at $\mu < \tilde{\Lambda}_Q$ (if nothing prevents). $\tilde{\Lambda}_Q$ is determined from the matching of dual couplings at $\mu = \mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole}$, see (9.1),(8.18),

$$(\tilde{\Lambda}_Q)^{3\overline{N}_c - n_2} = \Lambda_Q^{3\overline{N}_c - N_F}(\mu_{q,1}^{\rm pole})^{n_1} \quad \to \quad \tilde{\Lambda}_Q = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{n_1-(3N_c-2N_F)}>0} = \Lambda_Q' \ll \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{9.8}$$

Therefore, we need $\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole} > \Lambda_Q'$ in order not to enter the conformal regime, this requires, see (9.8),(9.1),

$$\frac{\Lambda_Q'}{\mu_{q,2}^{\rm pole}} = \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,3}}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{(n_1-3N_c+2N_F)}\frac{2\overline{N}_c}{(N_c-n_1)}} \ll 1 \;\to\; \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} \tag{9.9}$$

as in the direct theory, see (8.20). The masses $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)$ and $\mu^{\rm pole}(M_1^1) \ll \mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)$ remain as in (9.5),(9.6) and besides, see (9.5),

$$\frac{\mu^{\rm pole}(M_2^2)}{\Lambda_{YM}^{\rm (br1)}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,3}}\Big)^{\frac{4n_1}{3(N_c-n_1)}} \ll 1 \quad {\rm at} \quad \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,3} = \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{3N_c-2N_F+n_1}{2n_1}<1} < \frac{\Lambda_Q^2}{m_Q}\,. \tag{9.10}$$

All formulas in special vacua with $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $\mathrm{n}_2 = N_c$ can be obtained simply substituting $\mathrm{n}_1 = \overline{N}_c$ in all expressions in this section 9.2.

9.3 br2 - vacua

In dual br2 vacua with $N_F/2 < \mathrm{n}_2 < N_c$, all formulae can also be obtained from those for dual br1-vacua by $\mathrm{n}_1 \leftrightarrow \mathrm{n}_2$, under corresponding restrictions.

10 Conclusions

This article continues our previous study in [1, 2] of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD-like theories with additional colorless but flavored fields. We considered this time the region $N_c + 1 < N_F < 3N_c/2$ where the direct $SU(N_c)$ theory (1.1) is (very) strongly coupled at scales $\mu \ll \Lambda_Q$ (see section 7 in [8]), and calculated mass spectra in its numerous different vacua. The calculations were performed within the dynamical scenario introduced in [9]. This scenario assumes that quarks in such $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD-like theories can be in the two *standard* phases only : these are either the HQ (heavy quark) phase where they are confined, or the Higgs phase. The word *standard* implies here also that, in such theories without elementary colored adjoint scalars, no additional parametrically light solitons (e.g. magnetic monopoles or dyons) are formed at those scales where quarks decouple as heavy or are higgsed.

Similarly to our previous studies in [1, 2] of these theories within the conformal window at $3N_c/2 < N_F < 3N_c$, it is shown here that, due to a strong powerlike RG evolution at scales $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ in the direct theory, the seemingly heavy and dynamically irrelevant fields Φ_i^j can become light and there appear then two additional generations of light Φ-particles with $\mu^{\mathrm{pole}}(\Phi) \ll \Lambda_Q$.

In parallel, we calculated the mass spectra of IR free and logarithmically weakly coupled at $\mu < \Lambda_Q$ Seiberg's dual $SU(\overline{N}_c = N_F - N_c)$ theory (1.2). Comparison shows that mass spectra of the direct and dual theories are parametrically different, so that these two theories are not equivalent.

As it is seen from the article text, the use of the dynamical scenario from [9] leads to the results for the mass spectra which look self-consistent. It is worth to recall also that this dynamical scenario used in this article satisfies all those tests which were used as checks of the Seiberg hypothesis about the equivalence of the direct and dual theories. The parametric difference of mass spectra of these two theories shows, in particular, that all these tests, although necessary, may well be insufficient.

A Condensates and multiplicities of vacua at $N_c < N_F < 2N_c$

For the reader convenience and to make the present paper self-contained, we reproduce in short in this Appendix the values of quark and gluino condensates, $\langle \overline{Q}_j Q^i \rangle$ and $\langle S \rangle$, from the section 3 in[13].

As explained e.g. in [13], the values of quark condensates in various vacua can be obtained from the effective superpotential depending on the quark bilinears $\Pi_j^i = (\overline{Q}_j Q^i)$ only, [3]

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{tot}}^{\mathrm{eff}}(\Pi) = m_Q \mathrm{Tr}\,(\overline{Q}Q) - \frac{1}{2\mu_\Phi}\left[\mathrm{Tr}\,(\overline{Q}Q)^2 - \frac{1}{N_c}(\mathrm{Tr}\,\overline{Q}Q)^2\right] + \mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{non-pert}}\,, \tag{A.1}$$

$$\mathcal{W}_{\mathrm{non-pert}} = -\overline{N}_c S\,, \quad S = \Big(\frac{\det \overline{Q}Q}{\Lambda_Q^{\mathrm{b_o}}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c}\,, \quad \mathrm{b_o} = 3N_c - N_F\,, \quad m_Q \ll \Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi\,.$$

[3] It is worth recalling that this is *not* a genuine low energy superpotential, (A.1) can be used *only* for finding the values of vacuum condensates, see [13]. The genuine low energy superpotentials in each vacuum are given in the text.

A.1 The region $\Lambda_Q \ll \mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$

A.1.1 Vacua with the unbroken flavor symmetry

There are two groups of such vacua with parametrically different values of condensates, $\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle_L = \delta^i_j\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_L$ and $\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle_S = \delta^i_j\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S$.

a) There are $(2N_c - N_F)$ L-vacua (L=large) with

$$\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_L \sim \Lambda_Q^2\left(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\right)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll \Lambda_Q^2\,, \quad \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(L)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_L \sim \Lambda_Q^3\left(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\right)^{\frac{N_F}{2N_c-N_F}}\,, \quad \overline{N}_c = N_F - N_c\,. \tag{A.2}$$

In these quantum L-vacua, the second term in the superpotential (A.1) gives numerically only a small correction.

b) There are $(N_F - N_c)$ classical S-vacua (S=small) with

$$\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_S \simeq -\frac{N_c}{\overline{N}_c}\,m_Q\mu_\Phi\,, \quad \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{(S)}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_S \sim \Lambda_Q^3\left(\frac{m_Q\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q^2}\right)^{\frac{N_F}{N_F-N_c}}\,. \tag{A.3}$$

In these S-vacua, the first nonperturbative term in the superpotential (A.1) gives only small corrections with $Z_{N_F-N_c}$ phases, but just these corrections determine the multiplicity of these $\overline{N}_c = (N_F - N_c)$ nearly degenerate vacua. On the whole, there are

$$N_{\rm unbrok} = (2N_c - N_F) + (N_F - N_c) = N_c \tag{A.4}$$

vacua with the unbroken flavor symmetry at $N_c < N_F < 2N_c$.

It follows from (A.1) that at $\mu_\Phi \gg \mu_{\Phi,\rm o}$ the above $(2N_c - N_F)$ L - vacua and $(N_F - N_c)$ S - vacua degenerate into N_c SQCD vacua

$$\langle\overline{Q}_jQ^i\rangle_{SQCD} \simeq \delta^i_j\frac{1}{m_Q}\Big(\Lambda_{YM}^{(\rm SQCD)}\Big)^3 = \delta^i_j\frac{1}{m_Q}\Big(\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}m_Q^{N_F}\Big)^{1/N_c}\,, \quad {\rm b_o} = 3N_c - N_F\,. \tag{A.5}$$

The value of $\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}$ is determined from the matching

$$\left[\langle\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle\rangle_L \sim \Lambda_Q^2\left(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}}\right)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}}\right] \sim \left[\langle\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle\rangle_S \sim m_Q\mu_{\Phi,\rm o}\right] \sim \left[\langle\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle\rangle_{\rm SQCD} \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{N_c}}\right] \quad \to$$

$$\to \mu_{\Phi,\rm o} \sim \Lambda_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{2N_c-N_F}{N_c}} \gg \Lambda_Q\,. \tag{A.6}$$

A.1.2 Vacua with the spontaneously broken flavor symmetry, $U(N_F) \to U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$

In these, there are $n_1 \le [N_F/2]$ equal condensates $\langle\overline{Q}_1Q^1(\mu = \Lambda_Q)\rangle_{\rm br} \equiv \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br}$ and $n_2 \ge n_1$ equal condensates $\langle\overline{Q}_2Q^2(\mu = \Lambda_Q)\rangle_{\rm br} \equiv \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br} \ne \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br}$. The simplest way to find the values of quark condensates in these vacua is to use the Konishi anomalies [10]. These can be written as [13]

$$\langle\,(\overline{Q}Q)_1 + (\overline{Q}Q)_2 - \frac{1}{N_c}{\rm Tr}\,(\overline{Q}Q)\,\rangle_{\rm br} = m_Q\mu_\Phi\,,$$

$$\langle S\rangle_{\rm br} = \Big(\frac{\det\langle\overline{Q}Q\rangle_{\rm br} = \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br}^{\rm n_1}\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br}^{\rm n_2}}{\Lambda_Q^{\rm b_o}}\Big)^{1/\overline{N}_c} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br}\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br}}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \tag{A.7}$$

$$\langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,1}\rangle_{\rm br} \equiv \langle m_Q - \Phi_1\rangle_{\rm br} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br}}{\mu_\Phi}\,, \quad \langle m^{\rm tot}_{Q,2}\rangle_{\rm br} \equiv \langle m_Q - \Phi_2\rangle_{\rm br} = \frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br}}{\mu_\Phi}\,.$$

Besides, the multiplicity of vacua will be shown below at given values of n_1 and $n_2 \geq n_1$.

a) At $n_2 \leqslant N_c$, including $n_1 = n_2 = N_F/2$ for even N_F but excluding $n_2 = N_c$, there are [4] $(2N_c - N_F)\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F}$ Lt-vacua (Lt=L-type) with the parametric behavior of condensates

$$(1 - \frac{n_1}{N_c})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm Lt} \simeq -(1 - \frac{n_2}{N_c})\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm Lt} \sim \Lambda_Q^2\left(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\right)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}}, \tag{A.8}$$

i.e. as in the L-vacua above but $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm Lt} \neq \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm Lt}$ here.

b) At $n_2 > N_c$ there are $(n_2 - N_c)C^{\rm n_1}_{N_F}$ br2-vacua (br2=breaking with the dominant $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle$)

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2} \simeq \frac{N_c}{N_c - {\rm n}_2} m_Q\mu_\Phi\,, \quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2} \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}, \tag{A.9}$$

$$\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{n_2-N_c}} \ll 1\,, \quad \langle\Lambda^{\rm (br2)}_{YM}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm br2} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{n_2-N_c}}\Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{n_2-N_c}}.$$

c) At $n_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $n_2 = N_c$ there are $(2N_c - N_F)C^{n_1=\overline{N}_c}_{N_F}$ 'special' vacua with

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm spec} = \frac{N_c}{2N_c - N_F}(m_Q\mu_\Phi)\,, \quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm spec} = Z_{2N_c-N_F}\Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}}, \tag{A.10}$$

$$\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm spec}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm spec}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_\Phi}{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{2N_c-N_F}} \ll 1\,, \quad \langle\Lambda^{\rm (spec)}_{YM}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm spec} = Z_{2N_c-N_F}\Lambda_Q^2 m_Q\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c}{2N_c-N_F}},$$

where $Z_{2N_c-N_F}$ is a $(2N_c - N_F)$-th root of the unity.

On the whole, there are ($\theta(z)$ is the step function)

$$N_{\rm brok}(n_1) = \Big[(2N_c - N_F) + \theta(n_2 - N_c)(n_2 - N_c)\Big]\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F} = \tag{A.11}$$

$$= \Big[(N_c - \overline{N}_c) + \theta(\overline{N}_c - n_1)(\overline{N}_c - n_1)\Big]\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F}\,,$$

vacua with the broken flavor symmetry $U(N_F) \to U(n_1) \times U(n_2)$, this agrees with [14].

A.2 The region $\mu_\Phi \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$

.

a) At all values of $n_2 \leqslant N_c$, including $n_1 = n_2 = N_F/2$ at even N_F and the 'special' vacua with $n_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $n_2 = N_c$, there are $(N_c - n_1)\overline{C}^{\rm n_1}_{N_F}$ br1-vacua with

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1} \simeq \frac{N_c}{N_c - {\rm n}_1} m_Q\mu_\Phi\,, \quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1} \sim \Lambda_Q^2\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{\overline{N}_c-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}, \tag{A.12}$$

$$\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br1}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br1}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{N_c-n_1}} \ll 1\,, \quad \langle\Lambda^{\rm (br1)}_{YM}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm br1} \sim \Lambda_Q^3\Big(\frac{m_Q}{\Lambda_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_1}}\Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_1}{N_c-n_1}}.$$

[4] $\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F}$ differ from the standard $C^{n_1}_{N_F} = (N_F!/{\rm n}_1!\,{\rm n}_2!)$ only by $\overline{C}^{n_1={\rm k}}_{N_F={\rm 2k}} = C^{n_1={\rm k}}_{N_F={\rm 2k}}/2$.

b) At $[N_F/2] \le n_2 < N_c$, there are also $(N_c - n_2)\overline{C}^{n_2}_{N_F} = (N_c - n_2)\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F}$ br2 - vacua with

$$\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2} \simeq \frac{N_c}{N_c - {\rm n}_2} m_Q \mu_\Phi \,, \quad \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2} \sim \Lambda_Q^2 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{N_c-n_2}} \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{N_c-n_1}{N_c-n_2}} \,, \tag{A.13}$$

$$\frac{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle_{\rm br2}}{\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle_{\rm br2}} \sim \Big(\frac{\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{N_c}{N_c-n_2}} \ll 1 \,, \quad \langle\Lambda_{YM}^{({\rm br2})}\rangle^3 \equiv \langle S\rangle_{\rm br2} \sim \Lambda_Q^3 \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{m_Q}\Big)^{\frac{n_2-n_1}{N_c-n_2}} \Big(\frac{\Lambda_Q}{\mu_\Phi}\Big)^{\frac{n_2}{N_c-n_2}} \,.$$

On the whole, there are

$$N_{\rm brok}(n_1) = \Big[(N_c - n_1) + \theta(N_c - n_2)(N_c - n_2)\Big]\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F} = \tag{A.14}$$

$$= \Big[(N_c - \overline{N}_c) + \theta(\overline{N}_c - n_1)(\overline{N}_c - n_1)\Big]\overline{C}^{n_1}_{N_F}$$

vacua. As it should be, the number of vacua at $\mu_\Phi \lessgtr \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$ is the same.

As one can see from the above, all quark condensates become parametrically the same at $\mu_\Phi \sim \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}} = \Lambda_Q(\Lambda_Q/m_Q)^{(2N_c-N_F)/N_c}$. Clearly, this region $\mu_\Phi \sim \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$ is very special and most of the quark condensates change their parametric behavior and hierarchies at $\mu_\Phi \lessgtr \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$. For example, the br2-vacua with $n_2 < N_c$, $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi \gg \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle$ at $\mu_\Phi \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$ evolve into the Lt (L-type) vacua with $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle \sim \Lambda_Q^2(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(2N_c-N_F)}$ at $\mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$, while the br2-vacua with $n_2 > N_c$, $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi \gg \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle$ at $\mu_\Phi \ll \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$ evolve into the br1-vacua with $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi \gg \langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle$ at $\mu_\Phi \gg \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$, etc. The exception is the special vacua with $n_1 = \overline{N}_c$, $n_2 = N_c$. In these, the parametric behavior $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle \sim m_Q\mu_\Phi$, $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim \Lambda_Q^2(\Lambda_Q/\mu_\Phi)^{\overline{N}_c/(2N_c-N_F)}$ remains the same and only the hierarchy is reversed at $\mu_\Phi \lessgtr \mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}}$: $\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_1\rangle/\langle(\overline{Q}Q)_2\rangle \sim (\mu_\Phi/\mu_{\Phi,{\rm o}})^{N_c/(2N_c-N_F)}$.

The total number of all vacua at $N_c < N_F < 2N_c$ is

$$N_{\rm tot} = \Big(N_{\rm unbrok} = N_c\Big) + \Big(N^{\rm tot}_{\rm brok} = \sum_{n_1=1}^{[N_F/2]} N_{\rm brok}(n_1)\Big) = \sum_{k=0}^{N_c}(N_c - k)C^k_{N_F} \,, \tag{A.15}$$

this agrees with [14].

The analog of (A.1) in the dual theory with $|\Lambda_q| = \Lambda_Q$ is obtained by the replacement $\overline{Q}Q(\mu = \Lambda_Q) \to M(\mu = \Lambda_Q)$, $\langle M(\mu = \Lambda_Q)\rangle = \langle\overline{Q}Q(\mu = \Lambda_Q)\rangle$ in all vacua. The multiplicities of different vacua are the same in the direct and dual theories.

References

[1] V.L. Chernyak, Mass spectra in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD with additional fields. II, arXiv:1211.1487 [hep-th]

[2] V.L. Chernyak, Mass spectra in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD with additional fields. III, arXiv:1308.5863 [hep-th]

[3] V. Novikov, M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, V. Zakharov, Exact Gell-Mann-Low function of supersymmetric Yang-Mills theories from instanton calculus, Nucl. Phys. **B 229** (1983) 381

[4] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, Solution of the anomaly puzzle in SUSY gauge theories and the Wilson operator expansion, Nucl. Phys. **B 277** (1986) 456

[5] N. Seiberg, Exact results on the space of vacua of four-dimensional SUSY gauge theories, Phys. Rev. **D 49** (1994) 6857, hep-th/9402044

[6] N. Seiberg, Electric - magnetic duality in supersymmetric nonabelian gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. **B 435** (1995) 129, hep-th/9411149

[7] K.A. Intriligator, N. Seiberg, Lectures on supersymmetric gauge theories and electric-magnetic duality, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl., **45BC** (1996) 1

[8] V.L. Chernyak, On mass spectrum in SQCD and problems with the Seiberg duality. Equal quark masses, JETP **110** (2010) 383, arXiv:0712.3167 [hep-th]

[9] V.L. Chernyak, On mass spectrum in SQCD and problems with the Seiberg duality. Another scenario, JETP **114** (2012) 61, arXiv:0811.4283 [hep-th]

[10] K. Konishi, Anomalous supersymmetry transformation of some composite operators in SQCD, Phys. Lett. **B 135** (1984) 439

[11] G. Veneziano, S. Yankielowicz, An effective Lagrangian for the pure $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory, Phys. Lett. **B 113** (1982) 231

[12] T. Taylor, G. Veneziano, S. Yankielowicz, Supersymmetric QCD and its massless limit: an effective Lagrangian analysis, Nucl. Phys. **B 218** (1983) 493

[13] V.L. Chernyak, Mass spectra in $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SQCD with additional fields. I, arXiv:1205.0410 [hep-th]

[14] G. Carlino, K. Konishi, H. Murayama, Dynamical symmetry breaking in supersymmetric $SU(n_c)$ and $USp(2n_c)$ gauge theories, Nucl. Phys. **B 590** (2000) 37, hep-th/0005076